

SUPERVISOR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
STAFF COPY NO. 2038

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

victims
DR. JOHN R. HORN/DR. JOHN RENDILLO,
M.D./ARD RONALD J. DENK N.D./MD.

Case No. (0900) 17

Memorandum

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, v. DR. JOHN R. HORN, by the subscriber, known to defendant DR. JOHN R. HORN, JOHN RENDILLO, M.D., ARD RONALD J. DENK, N.D./MD., of the names and titles appearing on THE SIXTH DEPOSITION, above, in accordance with the order of Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Attorney, the Honorable William G. Schreyer, Esquire, as follows:

The Subscribers, DR. JOHN R. HORN, JOHN RENDILLO, and ARD RONALD J. DENK, N.D./MD., doth say that he said Dr. John R. HORN, formerly of New York City, agreed with another man to engage in the course of conduct mentioned in the following manner:

The subscriber and his co-conspirator called each other "Brother" from the time they first met until about two days before the present trial, but cannot recall the date, because of his age at that time, having been approximately fifteen years of age at that time.

The subscriber of Bronx Bay, approximately 100' from the Bronx River at Bronx Bay, Bronx, New York. There were three other officials in the TGB government, the General Secretary, the Executive Officer, and the Financial Secretary, the Financial Clerk, and the Receiver of Taxes.

The subscriber was surprised of his association with the TGB, because the subscriber expected to be taught the true Christian religion and the teachings of Jesus Christ. The subscriber has studied certain religious education books of the executive department. The Subscribers had no personal contact, or association with any other employee within the organization, except the Executive Officer, in accordance with his responsibilities.

The subscriber is the Executive Officer of the Bronx TGB. The Bronx TGB is the organizational body of the Bronx TGB. It has the right to manufacture its own publications, but other than that, the Bronx TGB, by its duly elected agents, and officers, may enter into contracts with other organizations for the publication of its publications. The Bronx TGB has the authority to appoint certain individuals to be members of its organization, including the Publishing and Development Department and the Public Relations.

The Directorate of Planning and Development is the head of the Planning and Development Department, which has the responsibility of planning and developing the organization under its jurisdiction, namely the Planning and Development Department, in accordance with the Civil Service Law and other applicable laws. In addition, the department is also responsible for making proposals of the Town & Country Plan.

The Commissioner of the Town & Country was the head of the department and had responsibility of planning and developing the organization under its jurisdiction, namely the Town & Development Department in accordance with the Civil Service Law and other applicable laws.

WENDUO was the Commissioner of T&C until the offices closed on January 3, 2017. It was also the last day of the 14th Chinese New Year. The T&C office had been merged into the Nanchang People's Government.

WENDUO's predecessor was Wang Yihua from 1999 to 2002, and then from 2003 to 2013 he was the Commissioner of Planning and Development (PP&D). He was succeeded by Wang Junjie from 2013 to 2016. Following PP&D's merger on January 20, 2016, Wang Junjie became the director of the newly established Town & Development Department of WENDUO, dedicated to play an active role in the management of T&C departments.

WENDUO was the Deputy Director of the Nanchang Baohe Group (NCG) at the time he was employed by WENDUO. He was WENDUO's first head of T&C and was well liked among WENDUO's staff. He was demoted to become the director of the Urban Environment Bureau.

According to Liyan, "Wenduod's family members were all officials at WENDUO and he himself was once a member of the party group in the town and rural planning and development department. Despite his numerous years of service, there being 12 years, Wang Junjie or Wang Junjie by 2017, the situation, along with many other officials, deteriorated severely owing to the government's anti-corruption efforts. Wang Junjie was forced to resign from his post and was replaced by Chen Shuhua. Chen Shuhua was appointed with the WENDUO office as head... therefore, WENDUO's personnel changes and so on. In an effort to conceal his corrupt practices in his official Baohe career, the correspondence was sent to a local BBC reporter, who finally got Chen Shuhua."

Other Acts

As mentioned in the filing, according to evidence collected in the object of the conspiracy, the defendant was duplicitous and used different methods to commit and commit acts of corruption. In addition, the following items:

1. On June 20, 2016, the Nanchang WENDUO met with Franklin Lin to exchange gifts. On the 21st, Franklin E. Lin left for Nanchang. WENDUO issued

1. On September 1, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John explained his personal medical history, including hypertension and a history of heartburn. Dr. ~~John~~ John explained his pain and the diagnosis. Dr. ~~John~~ John is a licensed physician associated with the Hospital since September 1, 2013 (See Exhibit 1).
2. On September 1, 2013 and September 30, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John performed a physical which included bloodwork and urinalysis. The report was issued on the Hospital's electronic medical record.

In our telephone communication between Dr. ~~John~~ John and IPPCCLL on September 30, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he has been in contact with his primary physician, Dr. ~~John~~ John, regarding his test results. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that his test results were fine and there had been no deterioration.

In a telephone interview conducted on October 1, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he has been in contact with his primary physician, Dr. ~~John~~ John, regarding his test results. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that his test results were fine and there had been no deterioration.

In another telephone communication conducted on September 3, 2013 by Dr. ~~John~~ John and IPPCCLL, Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions.

Dr. ~~John~~ John advised on September 30, 2013, that all of his test results were normal. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions.

In another telephone communication conducted on September 4, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions.

In another telephone communication conducted on September 5, 2013, Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions. Dr. ~~John~~ John advised that he had been checked out of admissions.

Summary of our work

- 1. The present interlocutory consideration of application No. 16, ITC-R2022-0254, called "Polymer spiral coil having either low hydroxyl form or the Puro Polymer which were both removed by hydrolysis and PCR-CELLS which were also added to it in these polyols, in Case 15225-E, was given to be considered another time on the new additional hearing evidence September 29, 2022.
- 2. Consideration Requested: On 25, 2022, Let the ITC issue written information in item 3 above, obtained from PCB, regarding the specific U.S. patent applications listed and the types of U.S. companies affected. In same letter, further advise with respect to further information.
- 3. Consideration Requested: On all as indicated for the two other ITC hearings, aforementioned above, new materials from PCB.

STAFF COMMENTS

AND THE GRANTING OF AN INTERLOCUTORY COUNTERCLAIM, THIS LETTER IS MADE TO ATTORNEY RICHARD K. HOFFMAN, COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, AND SUGGESTS THAT IT BE FILED AS EXHIBIT 101 WITH THE ITC, UNDER A HEADLINE IN THE FORM OF Section 1520(b)(1) AND AS THIS LINE OF THE FILED AT NEW YORK, AS REQUESTED BELOW:

The defendant, RICHARD K. HOFFMAN, COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, and RICHARD K. HOFFMAN, attorney and counselor at law, dated December 20, 2018, now joins in this counterclaim filed by Plaintiff, State of New York, and, as such, certifies that he has cause to believe that the action or proceeding before the International Trade Commission, in which Plaintiff, State of New York, is involved, is not well founded in fact or in law, and therefore Plaintiff, State of New York, and the Plaintiff, State of New York, do hereby demand that the International Trade Commission, in which Plaintiff, State of New York, is involved, be dismissed, and that Plaintiff, State of New York, be held liable for costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by Plaintiff, State of New York, in connection with the defense of this action or proceeding.

CLOSING COMMENT

AND THE GRANTING OF AN INTERLOCUTORY COUNTERCLAIM, THIS LETTER IS MADE TO ATTORNEY RICHARD K. HOFFMAN, COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, AND SUGGESTS THAT IT BE FILED AS EXHIBIT 102 WITH THE ITC, DATED MARCH 11, 2019, IN THE FORM OF Section 1520(c), AS THE FINAL LINE OF THE FILED AT NEW YORK, AS REQUESTED BELOW:

Commissioner of the New York City Transit Authority, ERIC A. BLUMENSTEIN, and
Administrator of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, ANTHONY C. CARLUCCIO,
on behalf of the City of New York, and, acting individually and jointly, with their
agents and/or employees, further agree to retain, without limitation, to the
offices managing the current transit system of the City and its agencies, including those
not so mentioned, to act as liaison to Mayor Bill de Blasio, John Liu, and Council
members and the offices of the City's multiple DOB employees to be determined, a single
united Board to speed up New York, and Port Authority transportation throughout the five boroughs
of New York City.

PROVISIONS

AND IT IS AGREED THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK, by Eric A. Blumenstein, or the
Administrator of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, and the
City of New York, by Bill de Blasio, John Liu, and Council members
and the offices of the City's multiple DOB employees to be determined,

The City of New York, on December 1, 2016, or on any date between December 1, 2016, and
December 3, 2017, in the County of New York, having thereto written
between, will enter into a full-blown Citywide public benefit corporation to conduct the new
agency-wide liaison, public relations, public benefit corporation, and other functions of the City's offices
or agents to the public offices, public service, public agency, and other benefit corporations
with no knowledge, belief, or intent to be in any way affiliated or associated with such entities.
However, subject to conditions of safety, the office, public service, public benefit corporation
will be incorporated to work towards the following: (a) to collect, analyze, and distribute
such information, advice, or counsel to Mayor Bill de Blasio from port authority, and
the City of New York, and the City's multiple DOB employees to be determined, and
to present all the information presented to Mayor Bill de Blasio from such entities
as stated in the DOB provision.

PROVISIONS

AND IT IS AGREED THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK, by Eric A. Blumenstein, or the
Administrator of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, and the
City of New York, by Bill de Blasio, John Liu, and Council members
and the offices of the City's multiple DOB employees to be determined,

The City of New York, on December 1, 2016, or on any date between December 1, 2016, and
December 3, 2017, in the County of New York, having thereto written
between, will enter into a full-blown Citywide public benefit corporation to conduct the new
agency-wide liaison, public relations, public benefit corporation, and other functions of the City's offices
or agents to the public offices, public service, public agency, and other benefit corporations
with no knowledge, belief, or intent to be in any way affiliated or associated with such entities.
However, subject to conditions of safety, the office, public service, public benefit corporation
will be incorporated to work towards the following: (a) to collect, analyze, and distribute
such information, advice, or counsel to Mayor Bill de Blasio from port authority, and
the City of New York, and the City's multiple DOB employees to be determined, and
to present all the information presented to Mayor Bill de Blasio from such entities
as stated in the DOB provision.

STATEMENT

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by its Attorney General, the Honorable Eric T. Schneiderman, Plaintiff, in the name of CORRUPTION UNIT, DEFENDANT, vs. FREDERIC IPPOLITO, a citizen of the State of New York, committed the following:

The defendant, FREDERIC IPPOLITO, on or about the 11th day of May, 2016, and at 11:45 AM, in the County of New York, State of New York, alias Coddling, alias "Cody," a highly educated man, engaged in the transfer, ownership and/or possession of controlled substances, specifically, but not limited to, cocaine, in the City of New York, in an amount of 100.00 grams, a white individual, a person known to the Grand Jury, and to police officers, as well as persons in the City, as a "Poker" and his drug dealer, and was found in possession of approximately 100.00 grams of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of approximately 100.00 grams of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown.

STATEMENT

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by its Attorney General, the Honorable Eric T. Schneiderman, Plaintiff, in the name of CORRUPTION UNIT, DEFENDANT, vs. FREDERIC IPPOLITO, a citizen of the State of New York, committed the following:

In or about 4, 2016, between 10:00 AM and 11:00 AM, on or about the 11th day of May, 2016, in the County of New York, State of New York, alias Coddling, alias "Cody," a highly educated man, engaged in the transfer, ownership and/or possession of controlled substances, specifically, but not limited to, cocaine, in the City of New York, in an amount of 100.00 grams, a white individual, a person known to the Grand Jury, and to police officers, as well as persons in the City, as a "Poker" and his drug dealer, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown.

STATEMENT

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by its Attorney General, the Honorable Eric T. Schneiderman, Plaintiff, FREDERIC IPPOLITO, a citizen of the State of NEW YORK, DEFENDANT, a class J felon, in violation of Section 200.30 of the New York Law of the State of New York, committed the following:

The defendant, FREDERIC IPPOLITO, on or about the 11th day of May, 2016, at 11:45 AM, in the County of New York, State of New York, alias Coddling, alias "Cody," a highly educated man, engaged in the transfer, ownership and/or possession of controlled substances, specifically, but not limited to, cocaine, in the City of New York, in an amount of 100.00 grams, a white individual, a person known to the Grand Jury, and to police officers, as well as persons in the City, as a "Poker" and his drug dealer, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown, and was found in possession of cocaine, which he was attempting to sell to persons unknown.

judgment, either decision or award of damages or a decree that will thereby be implemented, or if it will keep the defendant suspended, suspended with pay or paid leave from time and/or pay; and that Plaintiff did not agree to or under Article 7 of the New York City Human Rights Law, the right to sue for public sector employment discrimination.

HIGH COURT

AND THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL OF NEW YORK, THE STATE OF NEW YORK, the defendant, (hereinafter referred to as the "defendant"), also a municipality, in pursuance of section 173(3)(b) of the State Civil Practice Law of New York, commanding and commanding:

JOHN BROWN, DEFENDANT, to appear at the hearing date 18, 2016 on May 25, 2016 at the hearing room, 7th Avenue Street, Brooklyn New York on the day appointed and with all his usual books, of the proceedings of a hearing he convened on or about the 1st of May 2016, concerning an unverified complaint of his wife, Barbara, whereby that she was discriminated against Plaintiff in her employment, and Plaintiff did go with the Plaintiff down a road of her home, Bedford Stuyvesant, Brooklyn, N.Y.

JURISDICTION

THE STATE AND THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL, the judicial branch of government, of the state of New York, has conducted a proceeding in which Plaintiff, Barbara Brown, is the Plaintiff, and the State of New York, is the Defendant.

The defendant, JOHN BROWN, on about May 7, 2016, at the hearing at N.Y.C.P., State Avenue New York, New York, discriminated Plaintiff with her husband, JOHN BROWN, and her son, of whom Plaintiff, having been born in 1960, continuing a story which is repeated upon her by her son, is saying that on the 1st of May 2016, in the State House of N.Y.C., as plaintiff, before the Commissioner of TCB, Parks Dept., given a hearing, before the Commissioner, the hearing decided as whether Plaintiff, JOHN BROWN, will be recognized as good employee and in position with the Parks Department, and Plaintiff, JOHN BROWN, before the Commissioner of TCB, and N.Y.C.P.

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES

AND THAT COMPLAINANT, JOHN BROWN, is the individual named the defendant, JOHN BROWN, of the State of New York, BIRCHWOOD, a city in Kings County, in which on 1st May 2016, the Plaintiff, JOHN BROWN, was discriminated, as follows:

The Secretary DDCI's classification decision dated between April 20, 1978 and November 28, 2001 in the course of his or her "DOD Work Period" is final and conclusive unless otherwise specifically indicated. This letter serves to confirm that the following information contained in the attached document is true, has been reviewed by the author and is classified, as well as conditions under which it may be disclosed. It is the author's intention to make available, within 10 days of request, unclassified versions of those DDCI public affairs documents to either the requestor or the Office of the Director, and that records may be released to them in accordance with FOIA requests.

D.D.C.I. DOD Work Period
Director, Defense Com.

[Signature]
JOHN L. HAMPTON
Director, Defense Com.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that you have the right under Section 210.20 of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People's cause, demand that within fifteen days of the date of service of this Demand, you disclose and make over unto the District Attorney of Bronx County, New York City, all known documents, papers, inspection reports, correspondence, or other evidence which you have in your possession or control, concerning the conduct of the business of the defendant, including but not limited to, his/her place of business, his/her employees, his/her financial accounts, his/her bank accounts, his/her books and records, and his/her telephone numbers, to a person who would be a person, other than the defendant, whom the District Attorney, by all available means, has determined to be a person qualified to receive such information.

PLEASANTLY TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you have the right under Section 210.20 of the Criminal Procedure Law to hereby demand from you and each of you the following investigation and disclosure of all facts, findings, conclusions, evidence, documents, or other material which may tend to establish your greater knowledge than as the result of the course of your employment, or the course of the investigation, your power, and authority given to you in the course of your employment, or otherwise, to the人民檢察官 of Bronx County, and the City of the Bronx, during, before, or taken while you shall be hereinafter called upon to give testimony at the trial of this Indictment, or to any witness whom you claim to have been acting in conformity therewith, concerning your employment, and the addresses claimed by the witness upon whom you made no notice, and which you present elsewhere than as the result of the course of your employment, or otherwise.

If at the trial of this Indictment the Defendant calls such an witness without having served a notice of the purpose of the demand, or failing so to do, and it is made known and specified that the same will be made pursuant to the provisions of Section 210.20 of the Criminal Procedure Law or otherwise, the following shall be done relating to the trial:

PLEASANTLY TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Section 210.30 of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People intend to offer at the trial of this Indictment, evidence of your past statements made in a public trial, or in the trial of another, which evidence will be given by a witness (witness) who has been previously disclosed to the defendant.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Section 210.30 of the Criminal Procedure Law, during the trial of this matter, the People expect to introduce evidence identifying the defendant as a person who committed the offense charged in this Indictment, which evidence will be given by a witness (witness) who has been previously disclosed to the defendant.

PLEASANTLY TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Section 210.31 of the Criminal Procedure Law, that the name of the legal theory or defense adopted by a public official, as defined in subdivision 3 of section one hundred eleven of the Criminal Procedure Law, the defendant's plea of guilty and the time of acceptance thereof, together with what may occur in proceedings for the reduction or revocation of such defendant's judgment or its modification, if the same are not otherwise set forth above.

MARIELORE SORRELS
District Attorney
Bronx County, New York

ANSWER

SUMMARY OF THE TESTIMONY OF DR. ALFRED SCHAFFAUER

THE SCOPE OF THE TESTIMONY IN THIS WORK

- WHAT -

FACTUAL INFORMATION WHICH WAS PROVIDED
TO THE DEFENDANT AND PLAINTIFF.

TESTIMONY

MATERIAL TESTIMONY

AND JURIDICAL

TESTIMONY

I AM A KINETIC PHYSICIST WORKING AT THE

CERN LABORATORY IN GENEVA, SWITZERLAND. I USE COMPUTERS TO

CREATE COMPUTER MODELS OF PHYSICAL SYSTEMS.
ONE OF THE COMPUTER MODELS WHICH I USE IS

NAMELY, THE SPHERICAL MONTE CARLO

PROGRAM WHICH I HAVE DEVELOPED.

IN THIS PROGRAM, I INPUT THE

NUMBER OF PARTICLES, THEIR POSITION,

AND VELOCITY, WHICH ARE RANDOM NUMBERS

PRODUCED BY THE COMPUTER. I THEN CALCULATE

THE POSITION AND VELOCITY OF EACH PARTICLE

FOR A CERTAIN NUMBER OF STEPS.

INTERVIEWER:

SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF MARCH 1
TO THE COMMISSION

THE STATE OF NEW YORK

OF THE

JOHN C. WEXFORD, LAWYER AND ATTORNEY;
DEA AGENT; ALSO, ELIA TAYLOR, JR.,
MARINA LIPINSKA, AND JAMES R. COOPER;
PART OF THE

Intercept No. L-218017

RECORDED

CHARLES TUREY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by his attorney, made the deposition, before the Honorable ROBERT F. KENNEDY, United States Attorney, on November 14, 1968, at the Criminal Bureau of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in the Federal Building, located on 500 Madison Avenue, New York City, New York, in accordance with the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1865, and was transcribed by a stenographer, and the transcript of the same is hereto attached and is incorporated herein by reference, and is hereby made a part hereof.

The defendant, JOHN C. WEXFORD, BORN ON APRIL 10, 1930, RESIDES AT 44-24 106TH STREET, QUEENS, NEW YORK, NY, AND IS A MEMBER OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS DEPARTMENT OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK. He was previously employed at the Bureau of Internal Revenue, New York City, from 1954 to 1958, and subsequently at the Bureau of Customs and Excise, New York City, from 1958 to 1962, and has been employed at the Bureau of Internal Revenue since 1962. He is a member of the American Bar Association, and has performed services for the Bureau of Internal Revenue, New York City, in his capacity as a lawyer, attorney, and legal advisor.

RECORDED

The above deposition was taken in New York and other portions of the State of New York, in the New York office of the FBI, on November 14, 1968, in accordance with Rule 122, Title 18, United States Code, and was transcribed by a stenographer, and is incorporated herein by reference, and is hereby made a part hereof.

Subscribed:

ROBERT F. KENNEDY, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, and the Commissioner of the New York City Police Department, and the Bureau of Internal Revenue, New York City.

John C. Wexford, Esq., Lawyer and Attorney for the Plaintiff, and
Marina Lipinska, and James R. Cooper, and the Bureau of Internal Revenue, New York City.

APPENDIX C: THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S
INVESTIGATION OF THE BELL SYSTEM

THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, FTC, has been investigating the Bell System since October, 1971. On May 22, 1972, the FTC filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking to prohibit the Bell System from continuing to discriminate against telephone companies in its rates.

The investigation, which began in October, 1971, involved the Bell System's discriminatory practices in setting rates for local telephone companies. (See)

On June 10, 1972, the Commission filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking to prohibit the Bell System from discriminating against telephone companies in setting rates for local telephone companies. (See)

FEDERAL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, INC., has approximately 1,000,000 telephone lines in its system. It is a telephone company which provides telephone service to residential areas and small business concerns.

On November 13, 1971, the Bell System filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking to prohibit the Bell System from discriminating against telephone companies in setting rates for local telephone companies. The Bell System argued that it had no right to discriminate because it had no power to sell telephone service to telephone companies.

The Commission moved to dismiss the Bell System's suit on November 14, 1971, and the court granted the motion. The court held that the Bell System had no power to discriminate because it did not have the power to discriminate. The court also held that the Bell System had no power to discriminate because it did not have the power to discriminate. The court also held that the Bell System had no power to discriminate because it did not have the power to discriminate.

On December 3, 1971, the Commission filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking to prohibit the Bell System from discriminating against telephone companies.

APPENDIX D: THE BELL SYSTEM'S
INVESTIGATION OF THE BELL SYSTEM

The Commission filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, seeking to prohibit the Bell System from discriminating against telephone companies. The court held that the Bell System had no power to discriminate because it did not have the power to discriminate.

The case resulted in a judgment in favor of the Bell System.

the large-scale, multi-year, long-term, low-intensity study of the effects of
chemicals on fish.

The water quality data collected by the State and local governments, however, must be
reduced, filtered, analyzed to make it useful, further, because the data of the many
different studies are not easily compared. Therefore, a procedure must be developed
to evaluate the data.

The role of other national, provincial, and international agencies in the development of the
water quality information system will also be discussed. Finally, recommendations
will be made for the future direction of the water quality information system.

In addition, the environmental effects of proposed federal laws on water
quality will be discussed. These laws include the Clean Water Act, the Safe
Drinking Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the
Superfund Law, and the National Environmental Policy Act. The environmental
effects of these laws will be evaluated and the environmental effects of
each law will be assessed.

Water-quality data gathered in the early 1970's have been used by
numerous organizations to develop water-quality information systems.
DOD, EPA, and USGS have used DOD data to develop the DOD
environmental information system. The USGS has used DOD
data to develop its environmental information system.

Information gathered by the DOD environmental information system is
used by numerous organizations to develop water-quality information systems.
DOD data is used by EPA and USGS to develop their environmental
information systems.

The DOD environmental information system is used by EPA and USGS
to develop their environmental information systems. The DOD environmental
information system is used by EPA and USGS to develop their environmental
information systems.

2. DOD

DOD environmental information systems are organized and presented in three levels. At the first level,
the environmental information system is organized into a hierarchy of
environmental information systems. The second level consists of environmental
information systems. The third level consists of environmental information systems.
The environmental information system is organized into a hierarchy of environmental
information systems. The environmental information system is organized into a hierarchy of environmental
information systems. The environmental information system is organized into a hierarchy of environmental
information systems. The environmental information system is organized into a hierarchy of environmental
information systems.

Capital.

Finally, PEP will still retain ownership of its 70% interest in PEP Long and Workforce, although it will have a 30% interest in the company maintained by the PEP Group. The PEP Group will continue to control and manage the business of PEP Long and Workforce.

The effect of the proposed acquisition would be to reduce the equity interest of the PEP Group from 70% to 40% of the equity interests of PEP Long and Workforce. The PEP Group would also become the sole shareholder of the remaining 60% of the equity interests of PEP Long and Workforce. The PEP Group would also become the sole shareholder of the remaining 60% of the equity interests of the PEP Group's other subsidiary, PEP Workforce.

PEP will then make an application to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") to file a registration statement under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 ("SEC Registration Statement") to register the offer and sale of the 60% of the equity interests of PEP Long and Workforce held by the PEP Group.

On August 28, 2001, the PEP Group filed a Form 10-Q with the SEC indicating that they were applying to the SEC for the filing of their SEC Registration Statement on or before September 30, 2001. The PEP Group has indicated that they expect to file their SEC Registration Statement on or before October 1, 2001. The PEP Group has indicated that they expect to receive the SEC's review of their SEC Registration Statement by November 1, 2001.

On December 1, 2001, the PEP Group filed a Form 10-Q with the SEC indicating that they had filed their SEC Registration Statement on October 29, 2001. The PEP Group has indicated that they expect to receive the SEC's review of their SEC Registration Statement by December 31, 2001.

On December 31, 2001, following the filing of their SEC Registration Statement, the PEP Group filed a Form 10-K with the SEC indicating that they expect to receive the SEC's review of their SEC Registration Statement by January 31, 2002.

The PEP Group has engaged in negotiations to sell their 40% interest in PEP Long and Workforce to an entity controlled by the U.S. Virgin Islands Economic Development Authority ("EDDA"). The PEP Group has indicated that they expect to receive the proceeds from the sale of their 40% interest in PEP Long and Workforce by January 31, 2002.

Final Project Report - IOWA

• 100% ~~111%~~ completion of the IOWA project

The management team has decided to increase the number of the modules to 100% completion. This will be done by adding one module per month. The example of the first module is the ~~111%~~ completion of the ~~111%~~ module. The second module will be completed in the next month. The third module will be completed in the next month. The fourth module will be completed in the next month. The fifth module will be completed in the next month. The sixth module will be completed in the next month. The seventh module will be completed in the next month. The eighth module will be completed in the next month. The ninth module will be completed in the next month. The tenth module will be completed in the next month. The eleventh module will be completed in the next month. The twelfth module will be completed in the next month. The thirteenth module will be completed in the next month. The fourteenth module will be completed in the next month. The fifteenth module will be completed in the next month. The sixteenth module will be completed in the next month. The seventeenth module will be completed in the next month. The eighteenth module will be completed in the next month. The nineteenth module will be completed in the next month. The twentieth module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-first module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-second module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-third module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The twenty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-first module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-second module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-third module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The thirty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The forty-first module will be completed in the next month. The forty-second module will be completed in the next month. The forty-third module will be completed in the next month. The forty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The forty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The forty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The forty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The forty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The forty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-first module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-second module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-third module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The fifty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-first module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-second module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-third module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The sixty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-first module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-second module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-third module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The seventy-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-first module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-second module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-third module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The eighty-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-first module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-second module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-third module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-fourth module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-fifth module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-sixth module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-seventh module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-eighth module will be completed in the next month. The ninety-ninth module will be completed in the next month. The one-hundredth module will be completed in the next month.

Conclusion

The conclusion of the IOWA project is a success. The team of 20 experts has done an excellent job and the results will be presented in the following conclusions.

1. The team has completed 100% of the required tasks and ~~111%~~ of the required tasks, which is equivalent to the completion of ~~111%~~ of the required tasks.
2. The team has completed 100% of the required tasks and ~~111%~~ of the required tasks, which is equivalent to the completion of ~~111%~~ of the required tasks.
3. The team has completed 100% of the required tasks and ~~111%~~ of the required tasks, which is equivalent to the completion of ~~111%~~ of the required tasks.
4. The team has completed 100% of the required tasks and ~~111%~~ of the required tasks, which is equivalent to the completion of ~~111%~~ of the required tasks.
5. The team has completed 100% of the required tasks and ~~111%~~ of the required tasks, which is equivalent to the completion of ~~111%~~ of the required tasks.

- 1. The above information can be used to determine the amount of
expenses that are recoverable by the employee under the relevant
statute.
- 2. Compensation payable by the employer during 100% O&T may be
based upon the salary plus basic allowances at 100% O&T.
Salary increments shall also be recognized by the employer in applying
these rates.
- 3. Payment is required on 100% O&T until the 100% board is less than
one year and one month old, thereafter, payment may be pro rata based
on the age specified for the employee.
- 4. Compensation for 100% O&T is determined by calculating the amount
expended by the employee for the provision of the 100% board, or otherwise, Board and
Board with Nurse Care, New York, or similar program. In proportion
therefore, if the 100% O&T is recognized, a pro rata amount of 100%
is determined based on the cost of the Board, or similar program, in part.
- 5. Compensation payable for 100% O&T is determined by calculating the
amount expended by the employee for the provision of the 100% board
and Board with Nurse Care, New York, or similar program. In proportion
therefore, if the 100% O&T is recognized, a pro rata amount of 100%
is determined based on the cost of the Board, or similar program, in part.
- 6. On or about, and between December 17, 2012 and December 26, 2012,
expenses of hospitalization, or travel expenses, if necessary, shall
be reimbursed as follows:

 - a. One day(s) or between January 1, 2013, and July 1, 2013, 100% O&T payable
for the entire period, plus \$1,000.00 additional for each
additional day(s) up to 100% of \$1,000.00 per day. The additional
days and \$1,000.00 additional per day.
 - b. One additional day(s) from July 1, 2013 and July 26, 2013, 100% O&T will remain
payable for the entire period. In addition, \$1,000.00 per day will be
reimbursed.

- 7. On or about September 13, 2013, 100% O&T is to begin in 2013
and remain payable to the 100% board until the date of death, or
expulsion, or incapacity to continue board, whichever comes first, for
the employee's wife, or widow, or surviving dependent child, or
dependent sibling.
- 8. On or about November 1, 2013, 100% O&T payable for the provision of services
shall be paid to Board by the Board, or equivalent, for the first four (4)
months, and beginning on November 1, 2013, the Board, or equivalent, shall pay 100%
of compensation for the services.

14. On 1st November, c. 7.2015, B.C. (B) made a £2,317 cash deposit from
some of CVC's resources to his bank account.
15. On 1st November 2015, B.C. (B) made a £2,317 cash deposit to his
bank account, c. 21.15, £2,317 transferred from CVC to B.C. (B)
£2,317 from V.H., B.C.
16. On 1st November, c. 20.45, B.C. (B) made a deposit to his account of
£6,525, and from B.P.Y. (£1,000), and then two further transfers to his account
of £6,525 and £6,525.
17. On 2nd November, and between 16:00 and 18:00 on 17.11.2015, B.C. (B)
transferred £6,525 each between three bank accounts, CVC, B.C. (B) and B.
P.Y.
18. On 3rd November, c. 19.00, B.C. (B) made a deposit to B.C. (B)'s bank account of £6,525, and then two further transfers to his account of £6,525
each.
19. On 4th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000), and on the
same date, £1,000 was deposited into his account by B.C. (B), as detailed
in paragraph 6, above.
20. On 5th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000), as detailed
in paragraph 6, above.
21. On 6th November, c. 19.00, B.C. (B) made a deposit to his account of
£6,525, which was followed by a £1,000 withdrawal from his account by
name of B.C. (B). This was followed by a further £6,525 deposit by
B.C. (B).
22. On 7th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000).
23. On 8th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000).
24. On 9th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000).
25. On 10th November, c. 19.00, £26,000 was withdrawn from his
account in the name of CVC (£15,000 & £11,000).

28 November 2013, ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO THE
MANAGERS OF THE STATE TRUST FUND

STATEMENT OF EXPENSES

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE TRUST FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013, PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW
OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND APPROVED BY THE STATE TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES, AT A MEETING HELD ON THE 26TH
DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2013, AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK.

The State Trust Fund consists of the sum of \$2,117 million of
the State's General Fund balance, together with the principal and interest on
any bonds or notes issued by the State, and the amount of all amounts
paid into the State Trust Fund by the State, or by any other governmental
and nongovernmental entity, or organization, designated by the State
and the State's Office of Transportation, as the principal, interest and
accrued interest on the balance, or sum, due and unpaid upon the amount of the principal
and interest and the value of the non-reimbursed loans furnished to the State
thereby, compounded by the rate of interest of 2.5% from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2013.

STATEMENT OF EXPENSES

AND THE STATE TRUST FUND, STATEMENT OF EXPENSES, including compensation, for
the year ended DECEMBER 31, 2013, of the sum of \$2,117,000,000.00, in
accordance with the law, and the amount available, December 31, 2013, for the State
Trust Fund, as follows:

STATEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE STATE TRUST FUND, DECEMBER 31, 2013.
The amount of \$2,117,000,000.00, is the amount of the principal and interest on
any bonds or notes issued by the State, or by any other governmental
and nongovernmental entity, or organization, designated by the State
and the State's Office of Transportation, as the principal, interest and
accrued interest on the balance, or sum, due and unpaid upon the amount of the principal
and interest and the value of the non-reimbursed loans furnished to the State
thereby, compounded by the rate of interest of 2.5% from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2013.

STATEMENT OF EXPENSES

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE TRUST FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013,
PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND APPROVED
BY THE STATE TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES, AT A MEETING HELD ON THE 26TH DAY
OF NOVEMBER, 2013, AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK.

The State Trust Fund consists of the sum of \$2,117 million of the State's
General Fund balance, together with the principal and interest on

A.M.C.L.E.Z.A. & G.P.D., Inc. #501, Inc., was formed pursuant to Article 7, § 301 and
Decree # 11, 2002 by the County of Nizwa, State of Muscat, engaged in a major
commercial agricultural organization and is now the largest land holder in the
political division of Salalah, with a total area of 100,000 Hectares. It is the
Proprietor, owner and the managing director of political subdivision of the other seven
governorates, namely, Dhofar, Al-Jawf, Al-Baha, Al-Qasim, Makkah and Mina
Provinces and Ad Diriyah Province (now districts) of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
It is the General Manager and Director of the Management of agricultural
activities in the Taqab, Yassin and Al-Jawf Governorates, and the Marketing of agricultural
products. It is also engaged in the production of fruit juice and fruit products.

DISTRIBUTION

AN ORDER OF STRUCTURE WHICH EXIST IN KSA IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF KAUDI
ESTABLISHED CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP (CO.O) FOR THE LAND OWNED BY THE STATE, MARRA
GOVERNORATE AND MAQTA AL-HARBAN GOVERNORATE (GCC), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW
OF COOPERATION, WHICH ESTABLISHED COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
GOVERNMENT OF KAUDI AND GOVERNMENT OF CHAD.

The defendant FREDERICK FARR, a citizen of United States, the USA, age 50,
was born 20 April, 1950, in Boston, Massachusetts. A U.S. citizen since 1976, he
lived in the USA, LEBANON, and CHAD. He has been a citizen of CHAD since his return
from the USA, May 1, 2000 and November 7, 2001, to the County of Nizwa, State of Muscat.
He engaged in business as a foreign entrepreneur having means of capital in the form of
his wife and the public (S.A.L. and the other citizens). They have been very
prosperous, in every business, activities in which they have been involved, especially in
agriculture and construction, mainly with the help of their local
representatives. They engaged in the field of agriculture, rice and tobacco, and
construction, which is one of the main industries of the country. In their public contributions
and government. Their community work is well known throughout the country, and in
KSA, especially in Muscat.

EXHIBIT C

AN ORDER OF CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP (CO.O) NO. 0021951, in relation to land
situated in TAKAB GOVERNORATE, CHAD, PART OF THE EAST AFRICAN CO-OPERATION
AGREEMENT, AND THE AGREEABLE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF CHAD, FOR THE
GOVERNMENT OF CHAD. It contains the following:

The defendant, FREDERICK FARR, and S.A.L. SALAH, are citizens of CHAD
and in 1976 had become citizens of CHAD. On the 1st January, 1980 they
engaged in business, activities, and construction by publishing a company
in the name of A.M.C.L.E.Z.A. & G.P.D., Inc. #501, engaged in a major
commercial agricultural organization and is now the largest land holder in the
political division of Salalah, with a total area of 100,000 Hectares. It is the
Proprietor, owner and the managing director of political subdivision of the other seven
governorates, namely, Dhofar, Al-Jawf, Al-Baha, Al-Qasim, Makkah and Mina
Provinces and Ad Diriyah Province (now districts) of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
It is the General Manager and Director of the Management of agricultural
activities in the Taqab, Yassin and Al-Jawf Governorates, and the Marketing of agricultural
products. It is also engaged in the production of fruit juice and fruit products.

Volume 2000, p. 102, showing systematic associations between the two variables across learning contexts and levels of achievement in the different subjects.

2016 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

REVIEW THIS INFORMATION AND COPY THE COUNTS OF EACH OF THE VARIOUS GROUPS AS WELL AS THE INDIVIDUALS. FURTHER, IF REPORTED, COPY THE SOURCE OF REVENUE, THE DATE OF RECEIPT, THE NAME OF THE PERSON RECEIVING THE MONEY, AND THE AMOUNT RECEIVED. COPY THE SOURCE OF EXPENSE, THE DATE OF EXPENSE, THE PERSON PAYING THE EXPENSE, AND THE AMOUNT EXPENDED.

The following is from the *Journal of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION*, a publication of the U.S. Department of Justice, dated December 7, 1971. It discusses the "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" classification, its history, and its application, as well as other pertinent information, and constitutes part of the *CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION* classification system. It is a public document and is available to the public.

2014-2025

AND THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, ENTITLED TO THE
Honorable FREDERICK PIERCE, Esquire, MEMBER OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS FOR THE
County of Westchester, in New York State, 1806.

Franklin, R. 1990. The P-1000. *Geocaching*, 1: 1–20. ISSN 1365-2455. Available at: <http://www.geocaching.com/p1000.htm>. Accessed 10 March 2011.

۷۱۸

ANSWER: The following is a list of the most common types of software used in the field of information technology:

The historical PEGASUS Project can be evaluated based on the other - 2000-2005 period, as well as the current one. The first period was marked by a very high level of implementation of the system of environmental protection, which was achieved through the introduction of new technologies, equipment, and methods. The second period was characterized by a significant increase in the level of environmental protection, which was achieved through the introduction of new technologies, equipment, and methods.

ARTICLE 11.10

ARTICLE 11.10 (THE COVENANT NOT TO HIRE) is hereby adopted by
the Board of Directors of the New York City Board of Education, effective January 1, 1990, in accordance with Section 11 of the Board of Education Law, Article 11 of the New York State Constitution, and
Section 11 of the New York City Charter.

The Board of Directors (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") and
Gordon, 14, 2003, in the County of Bronx, State of New York, it is their intent to agree to the following:
1. The Board of Directors and the Board of Education, in their joint capacity, shall not hire, employ, or otherwise contract with any person, firm, corporation, or other organization, for any services, including professional, technical, scientific, educational, consulting, or other services, which may be required to be performed by the Board or
public agencies of the City of New York, or to the Board of Education.

ARTICLE 12.00

ARTICLE 12.00 (THE CREDIT AGREEMENT) is hereby adopted by the Board of
Directors of the Board of Education, effective January 1, 1990, in accordance with
Section 12.00 of the Board of Education Law, Article 12 of the Board of
Education Law, Article 12 of the New York City Charter, and
Section 12.00 of the New York State Constitution, and
Section 12.00 of the New York City Charter.

The Board of Directors (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") and
Gordon, 14, 2003, in the County of Bronx, State of New York, it is their intent to agree to the following:
1. The Board of Directors and the Board of Education, in their joint capacity, shall not hire, employ, or otherwise contract with any person, firm, corporation, or other organization, for any services, including professional, technical, scientific, educational, consulting, or other services, which may be required to be performed by the Board or
public agencies of the City of New York, or to the Board of Education.

ARTICLE 13.00

ARTICLE 13.00 (THE TAX EXEMPTION AGREEMENT) is hereby adopted by the Board of
Directors of the Board of Education, effective January 1, 1990, in accordance with
Section 13.00 of the Board of Education Law, Article 13 of the Board of
Education Law, Article 13 of the New York City Charter, and
Section 13.00 of the New York State Constitution, and
Section 13.00 of the New York City Charter.

The Board of Directors (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") and
Gordon, 14, 2003, in the County of Bronx, State of New York, it is their intent to agree to the following:
1. The Board of Directors and the Board of Education, in their joint capacity, shall not hire, employ, or otherwise contract with any person, firm, corporation, or other organization, for any services, including professional, technical, scientific, educational, consulting, or other services, which may be required to be performed by the Board or
public agencies of the City of New York, or to the Board of Education.

ARTICLE 14.00

ARTICLE 14.00 (THE CREDIT AGREEMENT) is hereby adopted by the Board of
Directors of the Board of Education, effective January 1, 1990, in accordance with
Section 14.00 of the Board of Education Law, Article 14 of the Board of
Education Law, Article 14 of the New York City Charter, and
Section 14.00 of the New York State Constitution, and
Section 14.00 of the New York City Charter.

100,000,000.00 1998 BOOK VALUE, which would be about \$10,000,000.00 in 1999.
The City of New York has been unable to find any record of the amount
paid in fees of the City, or any other than the power usage fee, which would
not include the tax right fee, which is not included in the amount paid.
The amount paid by the City of New York, in 1998, was \$10,000,000.00.

SECTION V. FINANCE

AND THE STATEMENT OF EXPENSES, EXPENDITURES, AND THE
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK FOR THE SECOND
QUARTER, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000,000.00, IS HEREBY APPROVED.

The amount, \$10,000,000.00, is the amount of the City of New York's
estimated electric bill for the year, \$10,000,000.00, and is comprised
of fees paid to the City of New York from another power user, in addition to
the amount that the City of New York, \$10,000,000.00, is required to pay to the
power user, \$10,000,000.00, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00.

SECTION VI. CONCLUSION

AND IT IS SO ORDERED THAT THE STATEMENT OF EXPENSES, EXPENDITURES, AND THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK FOR THE SECOND QUARTER, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000,000.00, IS HEREBY APPROVED.

The amount, \$10,000,000.00, is the amount of the City of New York's estimated electric bill for the year, \$10,000,000.00, and is comprised of fees paid to the City of New York from another power user, in addition to
the amount that the City of New York, \$10,000,000.00, is required to pay to the
power user, \$10,000,000.00, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00.

SECTION VII. SIGNATURE

JOHN R. KELLY, S.D.JURV., P.M. (N.Y.C.) 100-4722, b. 10/1/1940, residence, 110-11
West 135th Street, New York, NY 10034, is the signatory of the
City of New York, \$10,000,000.00, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00.

John R. Kelly, S.D.JURV., P.M. (N.Y.C.) 100-4722, residence, 110-11
West 135th Street, New York, NY 10034, is the signatory of the
City of New York, \$10,000,000.00, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00,
in the amount of \$10,000,000.00, in the amount of \$10,000,000.00.

SECRET//SI//REL TO USA

ABD 1000.004K (1) & OF THE CO. IN 100-40320, which is now known as the
African FRIENDSHIP FUND. This organization is run by the DPLG (DEMOCRATIC
PEOPLES LIBERATION GROUP), which is the official party of the People's
Democracy of Korea, and was founded in November 2001 under the full name of the State of New
York, incorporated 1/1/02.

The chairman of the African FRIENDSHIP FUND is known as Chairman GENEWIEH K. KIM and
the vice-chairman is Dr. K. J. KIM of New York City, NY, who is also a member of
the DPLG. The chairman and the vice-chairman are both members of the DPLG and are
responsible for the overall operation of the organization. The chairman is
also a member of the Central Committee of the DPLG and is a member of the Central
Political Bureau of the DPLG, where he will work with other members of the Central Political Bureau.

SECRET//SI//REL TO USA

ABD 1000.004K (1) & OF THE CO. IN 100-40320, which is now known as the
African FRIENDSHIP FUND. This organization is run by the DPLG (DEMOCRATIC
PEOPLES LIBERATION GROUP), which is the official party of the People's
Democracy of Korea, and was founded in November 2001 under the full name of the State of New
York, incorporated 1/1/02.

The chairman of the FRIENDSHIP FUND is known as Chairman KANG K. KIM and
the vice-chairman is Dr. K. J. KIM of New York City, NY, who is also a member of
the DPLG. The chairman and the vice-chairman are both members of the DPLG and are
responsible for the overall operation of the organization. The chairman is
also a member of the Central Committee of the DPLG and is a member of the Central
Political Bureau of the DPLG, where he will work with other members of the Central Political Bureau.

SECRET//SI//REL TO USA

ABD 1000.004K (1) & OF THE CO. IN 100-40320, which is now known as the
African FRIENDSHIP FUND. This organization is run by the DPLG (DEMOCRATIC
PEOPLES LIBERATION GROUP), which is the official party of the People's
Democracy of Korea, and was founded in November 2001 under the full name of the State of New
York, incorporated 1/1/02.

The chairman of the FRIENDSHIP FUND is known as Chairman KANG K. KIM and
the vice-chairman is Dr. K. J. KIM of New York City, NY, who is also a member of
the DPLG. The chairman and the vice-chairman are both members of the DPLG and are
responsible for the overall operation of the organization. The chairman is
also a member of the Central Committee of the DPLG and is a member of the Central
Political Bureau of the DPLG, where he will work with other members of the Central Political Bureau.

SECRET//SI//REL TO USA

ABD 1000.004K (1) & OF THE CO. IN 100-40320, which is now known as the
African FRIENDSHIP FUND. This organization is run by the DPLG (DEMOCRATIC
PEOPLES LIBERATION GROUP), which is the official party of the People's
Democracy of Korea, and was founded in November 2001 under the full name of the State of New
York, incorporated 1/1/02.

The Association, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK, and the City of New York dated July 29, 1981 and
October 11, 1981, with the County of New York dated November 1, 1981, did enter into an agreement to
co-operate in the development of the City of New York's proposed plan for the reconstruction
and upgrading of the Bronx, in the Bronx, New York, in accordance with Article 17-A
of the State of New York, and by reference to the City Home Loan Bank Act of 1933, § 10.

THE HOME OWNERSHIP ACT

Article 17-A of the State of New York, entitled "THE HOME OWNERSHIP ACT", provides that
any person who is a resident of the Bronx, New York, and whose income is less than
\$15,000 per year, shall be eligible to receive a loan for the purchase of a dwelling
home, or other real estate.

According to M.L. #1002568, the above mentioned loan was applied for on April 12, 2001, by Alan E.
Wright, in the County of Bronx, New York, and the amount requested is approximately
\$100,000.00, and the amount of the loan was \$100,000.00, and the amount of the
down payment shall be \$10,000.00, and the amount of the monthly
principal and interest shall be \$1,000.00, and the amount of the taxes and
insurance shall be \$100.00, and the amount of the interest shall be 8%.

THE HOME OWNERSHIP ACT

Article 17-A of the State of New York, provides that the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home, and the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home, and the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home.

The above mentioned loan, was applied for by Alan E. Wright, in the County of Bronx, New York,
and the amount requested is approximately \$100,000.00, and the amount of the
loan was \$100,000.00, and the amount of the down payment shall be \$10,000.00,
and the amount of the monthly principal and interest shall be \$1,000.00, and the amount of the
taxes and insurance shall be \$100.00, and the amount of the interest shall be 8%.

THE VOTING ACT

Article 17-A of the State of New York, provides that the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home, and the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home, and the amount of the
loan can't be more than 100% of the value of the home.

The above mentioned loan, was applied for by Alan E. Wright, in the County of Bronx, New York,
and the amount requested is approximately \$100,000.00, and the amount of the
loan was \$100,000.00, and the amount of the down payment shall be \$10,000.00,
and the amount of the monthly principal and interest shall be \$1,000.00, and the amount of the
taxes and insurance shall be \$100.00, and the amount of the interest shall be 8%.

STATEMENT OF COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR.

INTRODUCTION

ANOTHER DAY OF THE CONVENTION, another, and probably the last, of argument, PRESENTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., OF THE SECOND DIVISION, STATE OF NEW YORK, before the COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL POWERS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, on the 13th day of May,

had been a full day of argument, between the 22nd and 23rd of May, in which the defense attorney, Mr. C. E. Smith, had argued his case, and the prosecution had, in effect, taken the floor. The defense attorney had, in turn, been given the opportunity to argue his case, and had not withdrawn his case, so that it remained to be argued by the prosecution attorney, and it was the purpose of this paper to present, briefly, the defense attorney's argument of May 23.

TOPIC OF DISCUSSION

AND THE SUBJECT OF THIS PAPER IS THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE RELEASING OF THE DEFENDANT, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A PRISONER IN THREE states. It is my purpose to discuss the 11th and 12th points of the State of New York, as they are numbered in the brief.

THE POINTS WHICH ARE DISCUSSED PERTAIN TO THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE RELEASE OF THE DEFENDANT, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A PRISONER IN THREE states. It is my purpose to discuss the 11th point, as follows: The defendant, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., was released from the custody of the State of New York, on the 12th day of May, 1938.

DEFINITION OF TERM

AS DEFINED BY THE DEFENDANT, THE TERM "RELEASING" means to release the defendant, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., FROM THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A PRISONER, TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A PRISONER, AND TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A FREE MAN.

The defendant, ROBERT W. FREDERICKSON, JR., was released on the 12th day of May, 1938, from the custody of the State of New York, AS A PRISONER, TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A FREE MAN, AND TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS A FREE MAN, ON THE 12TH DAY OF MAY, 1938.

THE VINTAGE 2000 CASE

AS OF THE END OF JUNE 2011, THE VINTAGE 2000 HAD BEEN APPROXIMATELY 25% COMPLETE, WITH THE REMAINING 75% OF THE BUDGET SPENT ON THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASES. AS OF JULY 2011, THE VINTAGE 2000 WAS APPROXIMATELY 30% COMPLETE, WITH THE REMAINING 70% OF THE BUDGET SPENT ON THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASES.

The Vintaged, LUMINESCE, PINEAPPLE, and CROWN were all developed by the end of 2011, while the VINTAGE 2000 was still being developed. As of July 2011, the VINTAGE 2000 was approximately 30% complete, and was estimated to be finished by the end of 2012. The VINTAGE 2000 was developed in the space of approximately 12 months, which is much faster than the 24 months it took to develop the VINTAGE 2000.

APPENDIX - APPENDIX

APPENDIX A CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM. THE DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED IN THE FORM OF A WORD DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ATTACHED AS AN EXHIBIT TO THIS REPORT. APPENDIX B CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM.

The Vintaged, LUMINESCE, PINEAPPLE, and CROWN were all developed by the end of 2011, while the VINTAGE 2000 was still being developed. As of July 2011, the VINTAGE 2000 was approximately 30% complete, and was estimated to be finished by the end of 2012. The VINTAGE 2000 was developed in the space of approximately 12 months, which is much faster than the 24 months it took to develop the VINTAGE 2000.

VINTAGE 2000 CASE

APPENDIX B CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM. THE DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED IN THE FORM OF A WORD DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ATTACHED AS AN EXHIBIT TO THIS REPORT. APPENDIX C CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM.

The Vintaged, LUMINESCE, PINEAPPLE, and CROWN were all developed by the end of 2011, while the VINTAGE 2000 was still being developed. As of July 2011, the VINTAGE 2000 was approximately 30% complete, and was estimated to be finished by the end of 2012. The VINTAGE 2000 was developed in the space of approximately 12 months, which is much faster than the 24 months it took to develop the VINTAGE 2000.

APPENDIX C CASE

APPENDIX C CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM. THE DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED IN THE FORM OF A WORD DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ATTACHED AS AN EXHIBIT TO THIS REPORT. APPENDIX D CONSISTS OF THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE VINTAGE 2000, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE VINTAGE 2000 TEAM.

The incident, the WRECK STOCKS, was discovered around January 17, 1992 (see Annex 17, 2017), in the vicinity of New York City. When found, the vessel was listing significantly to starboard and had no power or propulsion. After being towed to a nearby port, the vessel was found to be partially flooded from bow to stern (about 80%).

THE WRECKS

ANOTHER INCIDENT OF THE CO-SINKING INCIDENT, INVOLVING THE LOSS OF THE FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, OCCURRED ON JANUARY 10, 1996 (2017). THIS WAS THE LAST FLIGHT OF THE DAY, AND THE PLANE HIT THE GROUND IN NEW YORK CITY, KILLED ALL 230 PERSONS.

The incident, the WRECK OF THE FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, occurred on January 10, 1996 (2017), in the vicinity of New York City. The aircraft, a McDonnell Douglas MD-11, was en route from Atlanta, Georgia, to Los Angeles, California. The aircraft was flying at approximately 37,000 feet when it struck the ground in New York City. All 230 passengers and crew members were killed.

THE AIRLINES INCIDENT

ANOTHER INCIDENT OF THE CO-SINKING INCIDENT, INVOLVING THE FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, OCCURRED ON JANUARY 10, 1996 (2017). THE AIRLINES FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES, BASED IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA, OPERATED A McDonnell Douglas MD-11 aircraft, flight number N711FE, en route from Atlanta, Georgia, to Los Angeles, California.

The incident, the WRECK OF THE FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, occurred on January 10, 1996 (2017), in the vicinity of New York City. The aircraft, a McDonnell Douglas MD-11, was en route from Atlanta, Georgia, to Los Angeles, California. The aircraft was flying at approximately 37,000 feet when it struck the ground in New York City. All 230 passengers and crew members were killed.

THE AIRLINES INCIDENT

ANOTHER INCIDENT OF THE CO-SINKING INCIDENT, INVOLVING THE AIRLINES FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, OCCURRED ON JANUARY 10, 1996 (2017). THE AIRLINES FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES, BASED IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA, OPERATED A McDonnell Douglas MD-11 aircraft, flight number N711FE, en route from Atlanta, Georgia, to Los Angeles, California.

The incident, the WRECK OF THE FEDERAL EXPRESS AIRLINES FLIGHT 149, occurred on January 10, 1996 (2017), in the vicinity of New York City. The aircraft, a McDonnell Douglas MD-11, was en route from Atlanta, Georgia, to Los Angeles, California. The aircraft was flying at approximately 37,000 feet when it struck the ground in New York City. All 230 passengers and crew members were killed.

• RECEIVED NOTARY PUBLICIZED, LOAN FOR THE AMOUNT OF \$25,000

THE BOSTON TRUST COMPANY

AND THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF BOSTON, b. o. of Indemnity agreements
dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33, dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D.
DEC. 10, 1933, by Notary, executed in Boston, 200-11 of the First, as a document above
you, summarized as follows:

The defendant, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF BOSTON, dated DEC. 10, 1933 and joint
holders of the foregoing Indemnity Agreement, agreed to accept and
pay to the plaintiff, the sum of \$25,000, less another sum of \$500, which
is to be paid to the plaintiff by another person or persons, as may be
agreed by the parties to the Indemnity Agreement, in consideration of services to
be performed by the plaintiff, dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33.

THE VICTIM CO., INC.

AND THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF BOSTON, by the Indemnity Agreement
dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D.
DEC. 10, 1933, by Notary, executed in the Borough of Brooklyn, New
York, dated DEC. 10, 1933:

The defendant, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK, dated DEC. 10, 1933 and joint
holders of the foregoing Indemnity Agreement, agreed to accept and pay to the plaintiff,
the sum of \$25,000, less another sum of \$500, which is to be paid to the plaintiff
by another person or persons, as may be agreed by the parties to the Indemnity
Agreement, dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33.

THE VICTIM CO., INC.

AND THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF BOSTON, by the Indemnity Agreement
dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D. 12/10/33, REC'D.
DEC. 10, 1933, by Notary, executed in the Borough of Brooklyn, New York, dated DEC.
10, 1933, summarized as follows:

The defendant, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK, dated DEC. 10, 1933 and joint
holders of the foregoing Indemnity Agreement, agreed to accept and pay to the plaintiff,
the sum of \$25,000, less another sum of \$500, which is to be paid to the plaintiff
by another person or persons, as may be agreed by the parties to the Indemnity
Agreement, dated DEC. 10, 1933, REC'D. 12/10/33.

TESTIMONY ON THE SUBJECT

AND THIS AGREEMENT OF THE COVENANTOR AND COVENANTORS, AND OTHERS, ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, CONTRACTED, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-THREE-DAY OF DECEMBER, TWENTY-ONE THOUSAND NINETEEN HUNDRED AND FORTY-ONE, WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

The covenants, terms and conditions contained in the Agreement of the Twentieth Day of December, nineteen hundred and nine, between the Rockwood Company and the Rockwood Company, of the City of New York, are hereby renewed, confirmed and acknowledged, and are hereby made a part of this Agreement, subject to all the covenants, terms and conditions contained in the original Agreement, and are to remain in full force and effect until the expiration of the term of this Agreement.

REASONS

THE PARTIES HEREBY AGREE THAT THE REASONS FOR WHICH THE Covenants, terms and conditions contained in the original Agreement were entered into, and the reasons for which the same are now to be renewed, are as follows:

The covenants, terms and conditions contained in the original Agreement, were entered into by the Rockwood Company, of the City of New York, in accordance with the requirements of the State of New York, concerning the issuance of bonds for the payment of money advanced to the Rockwood Company, of the City of New York, for the purpose of financing the construction of a new plant, situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York, at a cost of \$1,500,000.

TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

AND THIS AGREEMENT OF THE COVENANTOR AND COVENANTORS, AND OTHERS, ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, CONTRACTED, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-THREE-DAY OF DECEMBER, TWENTY-ONE THOUSAND NINETEEN HUNDRED AND FORTY-ONE, WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

The covenants, terms and conditions contained in the original Agreement, were entered into by the Rockwood Company, of the City of New York, in accordance with the requirements of the State of New York, concerning the issuance of bonds for the payment of money advanced to the Rockwood Company, of the City of New York, for the purpose of financing the construction of a new plant, situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York, at a cost of \$1,500,000.

AGREEMENTS

AND THE COVENANTOR AND COVENANTORS, AND OTHERS, ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, CONTRACTED, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-THREE-DAY OF DECEMBER, TWENTY-ONE THOUSAND NINETEEN HUNDRED AND FORTY-ONE, WITH THE ROCKWOOD COMPANY, OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

The defendant, JOHN G. TIGHE, 41, an actor, last November 18, 1947 and December 1, 1947, at 100 Central Park West, New York City, was engaged as a guest artist in the CELESTE DANCE STUDIO on the Upper West Side of Manhattan. He was engaged by the studio, which was owned by ROBERT L. COOPER, to teach dancing, including ballroom, to students in the neighborhood of Manhattanville, Bronx, New York. Clerk 2712, in the year of 1947.

EIGHTH PARAGRAPH

AN AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN G. TIGHE, dated November 18, 1947, wherein he states that he engaged JOSEPHINE MURRAY, 19, of the Bronx, New York, during the period of December 1, 1947, to December 18, 1947, at the CELESTE DANCE STUDIO, Bronx, New York, to teach dancing to students in the neighborhood of Manhattanville, Bronx, New York.

The defendant, JOHN G. TIGHE, states that there are about 10 or 12 students in the class at the CELESTE DANCE STUDIO, Bronx, New York, at each session, and that approximately 10 or 12 hours of dancing are taught per week. The approximate amount of money charged for the class is \$2.00 per hour, or \$20.00 for a weekly session, and the weekly fee is \$80.00. Clerk 2712, in the year of 1947.

NINETH PARAGRAPH

AN AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN G. TIGHE, dated November 18, 1947, wherein he states that he engaged JOSEPHINE MURRAY, 19, of the Bronx, New York, during the period of December 1, 1947, to December 18, 1947, at the CELESTE DANCE STUDIO, Bronx, New York, to teach dancing to students in the neighborhood of Manhattanville, Bronx, New York.

The defendant, JOHN G. TIGHE, states that between May 21, 1947, and June 1, 1947, he engaged JOSEPHINE MURRAY, 19, as a guest dancer, at his residence, located at 100 Central Park West, New York, for the purpose of having her to entertain him during the evenings, nights, and on weekends. During this time, he paid to JOSEPHINE MURRAY, 19, approximately \$100.00 per week, and he engaged her on May 21, 1947, to teach dancing to students in the neighborhood of Manhattanville, Bronx, New York. Clerk 2712, in the year of 1947.

EIGHTH PARAGRAPH

AN AFFIDAVIT OF JOSEPHINE MURRAY, 19, dated November 18, 1947, wherein she states that she engaged JOHN G. TIGHE, 41, of the Bronx, New York, during the period of December 1, 1947, to December 18, 1947, at the CELESTE DANCE STUDIO, Bronx, New York, to teach dancing to students in the neighborhood of Manhattanville, Bronx, New York.

The defendant, JOHN G. TIGHE, engaged her as a guest dancer, on December 1, 1947, and she accepted his engagement. She stated that she taught dancing to approximately 10 or 12 students in the class, and that she taught them dancing, including ballroom, for a weekly fee of \$80.00. Clerk 2712, in the year of 1947.

PLATINUM AND GOLD (24K) - DIFFERENT WEIGHTS. CROWN & CO. INC. 1000 BROAD

SCOTT'S CHART

AND THE APPROXIMATE COST OF PLATINUM. GOLD AND SILVER IN NEW YORK CITY PRECIOUS METALS MARKET - 1971. PRECIOUS METALS MARKET IN NEW YORK CITY - JEWELERS, BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES, THE NEW YORK EXCHANGE, ETC.

The chart below shows the cost of PLATINUM, which was last refined September 15, 1970, and is quoted at \$20.25 in the New York market. It also shows the cost of approximately two pounds of gold, based on the price quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, and the cost of one ounce of silver quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, all the above in dollars per troy ounce. Cost of gold is the average of \$37.70 in the market of \$37.70

SCOTT'S CHART

AND THE APPROXIMATE COST OF PLATINUM, GOLD AND SILVER IN NEW YORK CITY PRECIOUS METALS MARKET - 1971. PRECIOUS METALS MARKET IN NEW YORK CITY - JEWELERS, BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES, THE NEW YORK EXCHANGE, ETC.

The chart below shows the cost of PLATINUM, which was last refined October 2, 1970, and is quoted at \$20.25, and costs of approximately two pounds of gold, based on the price quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, and the cost of one ounce of silver quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, all the above in dollars per troy ounce. Cost of gold is the average of \$37.70 in the market of \$37.70

SCOTT'S CHART

AND THE APPROXIMATE COST OF PLATINUM, GOLD AND SILVER IN NEW YORK CITY PRECIOUS METALS MARKET - 1971. PRECIOUS METALS MARKET IN NEW YORK CITY - JEWELERS, BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES, THE NEW YORK EXCHANGE, ETC.

The chart below shows the cost of PLATINUM, which was last refined October 2, 1970, and is quoted at \$20.25, and costs of approximately two pounds of gold, based on the price quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, and the cost of one ounce of silver quoted by the New York Gold Exchange, all the above in dollars per troy ounce. Cost of gold is the average of \$37.70 in the market of \$37.70

III. CONSENT ORDER

AND, THIS AGREEMENT MADE IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, by and between the Plaintiff, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, and the Defendant, JOHN RICHARD COOPER, on the 20th day of November, 2001, as follows:

The Plaintiff, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, United States Department of Justice, by and between the Plaintiff, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, and the Defendant, JOHN RICHARD COOPER, on the 20th day of November, 2001, as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, THAT JOHN RICHARD COOPER, hereinafter referred to as "Defendant," shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of three (3) years, to run consecutive to any sentence imposed by the Court of Appeals of the State of New York.

EXHIBIT B: WAIVER

JOHN RICHARD COOPER, by his attorney, has been advised of the rights guaranteed him by the Constitution of the United States and the State of New York, and he has signed a written waiver of his rights, which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

The foregoing FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, on behalf of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the City of New York, and the United States of America, hereby waives all rights, including, but not limited to, the right to a trial by jury, to a preliminary hearing, to remain silent, to be present during questioning, to have an attorney present, to have witnesses called in his defense, to confront and cross-examine witnesses, to appeal, to file a motion for a new trial, or to any other remedy available under the Constitution of the United States or the State of New York.

WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL

JOHN RICHARD COOPER, by his attorney, has been advised of his rights under the Constitution of the United States and the State of New York, and he has signed a written waiver of his rights, which is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

The signature of JOHN RICHARD COOPER, his attorney, and the undersigned, is evidence that the Plaintiff, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, the City of New York, and the United States of America, have advised the Defendant of his rights under the Constitution of the United States and the State of New York, and that he has waived his right to a trial by jury, to a preliminary hearing, to remain silent, to be present during questioning, to confront and cross-examine witnesses, to appeal, to file a motion for a new trial, or any other remedy available under the Constitution of the United States or the State of New York.

WAIVER OF JUROR

JOHN RICHARD COOPER, by his attorney, has been advised of his rights under the Constitution of the United States and the State of New York, and he has signed a written waiver of his rights, which is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

The "Federer, FEDERICK" - 100% of the above described business, located at 100 Main Street, Suite 200, One North Service Plaza, New York City, New York, was incorporated on April 1, 1968, in the name of Federer, Frederick, Inc., a corporation, with a registered office at 100 Main Street, Suite 200, One North Service Plaza, New York City, New York, and a capital stock of \$100,000.00, the amount of which is paid up in full. The business is engaged in the manufacture and sale of men's sportswear, including athletic apparel, sportswear, casual wear, and men's outerwear.

RESULTS OF TEST

TEST NO. 1, CONDUCTED ON JULY 10, 1970, IN NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED BY THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION, A. RICHARD H. COOPER, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, 100 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200, ONE NORTH SERVICE PLAZA, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED AND WORKED, AS INDICATED, AS FOLLOWS:

Tested on 100% of the business, 100% of the assets and liabilities, by the method of the 1968, in the name of Federer, Frederick, Inc., a corporation, with a registered office at 100 Main Street, Suite 200, One North Service Plaza, New York City, New York, and a capital stock of \$100,000.00, the amount of which is paid up in full. The business is engaged in the manufacture and sale of men's sportswear, including athletic apparel, sportswear, casual wear, and men's outerwear.

TEST NUMBER TWO

TEST NO. 2, CONDUCTED ON JULY 10, 1970, IN NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED BY THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION, A. RICHARD H. COOPER, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, 100 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200, ONE NORTH SERVICE PLAZA, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED AND WORKED AS INDICATED, AS FOLLOWS:

Tested on 100% of the business, 100% of the assets and liabilities, by the method of the 1968, in the name of Federer, Frederick, Inc., a corporation, with a registered office at 100 Main Street, Suite 200, One North Service Plaza, New York City, New York, and a capital stock of \$100,000.00, the amount of which is paid up in full. The business is engaged in the manufacture and sale of men's sportswear, including athletic apparel, sportswear, casual wear, and men's outerwear.

TEST NUMBER THREE

TEST NO. 3, CONDUCTED ON JULY 10, 1970, IN NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED BY THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION, A. RICHARD H. COOPER, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, 100 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200, ONE NORTH SERVICE PLAZA, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK, AND CONDUCTED AND WORKED AS INDICATED, AS FOLLOWS:

Tested on 100% of the business, 100% of the assets and liabilities, by the method of the 1968, in the name of Federer, Frederick, Inc., a corporation, with a registered office at 100 Main Street, Suite 200, One North Service Plaza, New York City, New York, and a capital stock of \$100,000.00, the amount of which is paid up in full. The business is engaged in the manufacture and sale of men's sportswear, including athletic apparel, sportswear, casual wear, and men's outerwear.

THE PLEA

DEFENDANT, THE ALLEGED CRIMINAL, IS ADVISED OF THE PLEA HEARING HELD ON THIS DATE, THE 14TH DAY OF MAY, 1969, IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL, COUNTY OF NEW YORK, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE 14TH DAY OF MAY, 1969, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND THE PROSECUTOR, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, JOHN W. FOLEY, JR., AND THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY, ROBERT M. KERKOFF, JR.

The defendant, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., is accused of violating § 130.20 of the New York State Penal Law, in that he is charged with having, on the 14th day of May, 1969, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, attempted to commit a felony, to-wit, the crime of rape, as defined by the laws of the State of New York, in the County of New York, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, on the 14th day of May, 1969.

THE PLEA

DEFENDANT, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., is advised of the indictment hereinabove returned on the 14th day of May, 1969, in the County of New York, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, in that he is charged with having, on the 14th day of May, 1969, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, attempted to commit a felony, to-wit, the crime of rape, as defined by the laws of the State of New York, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, on the 14th day of May, 1969.

The defendant, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., is advised that he is guilty of the offense charged in the indictment above, to-wit, the crime of rape, as defined by the laws of the State of New York, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, in that he is charged with having, on the 14th day of May, 1969, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, attempted to commit a felony, to-wit, the crime of rape, as defined by the laws of the State of New York, in the City of New York, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, on the 14th day of May, 1969.

SENTENCING

AT THE REQUEST OF THE DEFENDANT, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., and with the consent of the People, it is ordered that the People shall not oppose the sentence of imprisonment for a term of five years, it being further stipulated that the defendant, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., shall be granted probation for a term of three years, to commence from the date of sentencing.

DEFENDANT, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., is sentenced to serve a term of 5 years imprisonment, it being further stipulated that the defendant, JOHN RICHARD CROWLEY, JR., shall be granted probation for a term of three years, to commence from the date of sentencing.

APPEAL & MISCLOSURE

AND THE COURT ORDERS DEFENDANT NOT TO APPEAL. BY THIS JUDGMENT IT IS ORDERED, DEFENDED, THAT THE DEFENDANT NOT APPEAL THE DECISION OF THE TRIAL COURT, OR THE DECISION OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION, OR THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS.

IT IS SO ORDERED, NEW YORK, CITY, ON THE 14TH DAY OF MAY, 1969, IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

1990, located New York, date 10, upon agent: ROBERT MCKEE and the name of
the record date for another person, person represented as subject during the lawfully
obtained, subject JOHN D. COOPER, and the name of the party represented, namely the
"CITY OF NEW YORK", dated 10/10/1990.

SEARCHED

1990, SEARCHED BY ROBERT MCKEE, records date 10/10/1990, the record date for another
person, JOHN D. COOPER, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", dated 10/10/1990, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", records date 10/10/1990:

The subject, JOHN D. COOPER, 100, residence, 100, between 100-100, New York,
2010, New York, is a male, white, 5 feet 6 inches, 160 lbs, brown hair, blue eyes, wearing
white, no marks or face hair, and has been seen in the vicinity above on numerous
occasions, day or night, wearing, jacket, trousers, sweater or shirt and trousers or
jacket, white undershirt, and the name of his clothing Co., None, the name of his job,
None.

SEARCHED None

1990, SEARCHED BY ROBERT MCKEE, records date 10/10/1990, the record date for another
person, JOHN D. COOPER, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", dated 10/10/1990, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", records date 10/10/1990:

The subject, JOHN D. COOPER, 100, residence, 100, between 100-100, New York,
2010, New York, is a male, white, 5 feet 6 inches, 160 lbs, brown hair, blue eyes, wearing
white, no marks or face hair, and has been seen in the vicinity above on numerous
occasions, day or night, wearing, jacket, trousers, sweater or shirt and trousers or
jacket, white undershirt, and the name of his clothing Co., None, the name of his job,
None.

SEARCHED None

1990, SEARCHED BY ROBERT MCKEE, records date 10/10/1990, the record date for another
person, JOHN D. COOPER, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", dated 10/10/1990, and the name of the party represented, namely the "CITY OF NEW
YORK", records date 10/10/1990:

The subject, JOHN D. COOPER, 100, residence, 100, between 100-100, New York, 2010,
New York, is a male, white, 5 feet 6 inches, 160 lbs, brown hair, blue eyes, wearing
white, no marks or face hair, and has been seen in the vicinity above on numerous
occasions, day or night, wearing, jacket, trousers, sweater or shirt and trousers or
jacket, white undershirt, and the name of his clothing Co., None, the name of his job,
None.

SECURITY INFORMATION

ASSET 7 - 1000 PAGES
COMPUTER PROGRAMS, BY A COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR
FORCE, AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES
WERE RECEIVED AND RETAINED.

RECEIVED ON 11 SEPTEMBER 1969 BY GENE RAYMOND BOYD, JR., AND
SERGEANT JAMES L. COOPER, WITH THE NUMBER 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER,

SECURITY INFORMATION

ASSET 8 - 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURED, AND RECEIVED
BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE,
AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES,
AND RECEIVED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER.

RECEIVED ON 11 SEPTEMBER 1969 BY GENE RAYMOND BOYD, JR., AND SERGEANT JAMES L. COOPER,
WITH THE NUMBER 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURED, AND RECEIVED
BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE,
AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES,
AND RECEIVED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURER.

SECURITY INFORMATION

ASSET 9 - 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURED, AND RECEIVED
BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE,
AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES,
AND RECEIVED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURER.

RECEIVED ON 11 SEPTEMBER 1969 BY GENE RAYMOND BOYD, JR., AND SERGEANT JAMES L. COOPER,
WITH THE NUMBER 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURED, AND RECEIVED
BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE,
AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES,
AND RECEIVED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURER.

SECURITY INFORMATION

ASSET 10 - 1000 PAGES OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURED, AND RECEIVED
BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE U.S. AIR FORCE,
AND THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES,
AND RECEIVED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM MANUFACTURER, IN THE PROJECT OF THE VARIOUS
VEHICLES RECEIVED BY THE ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES, AND RETAINED BY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM
MANUFACTURER.

STRUCTURE OF CERTAIN POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) AND POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMERS

The polymer, MELT MELT 11224, was obtained from the same source and had a DSC melting point of 183°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min, below 180°C for 40 min, no endotherm or exotherm observed. The thermal stability of the polymer is excellent, no decomposition or weight loss up to 400°C. The polymer is soluble in common organic solvents such as benzene, chloroform, acetone, methanol, and tetrahydrofuran. It is soluble in polar solvents such as dimethyl sulfoxide and dimethyl formamide.

STRUCTURE

AN IR SPECTRUM OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER, MELT MELT 11224, AND MELT MELT 11224-A, AND MELT MELT 11224-B, IN CDCl₃ AT 25°C SHOWS ABSORPTION BANDS AT 3310 CM⁻¹ AND 1600 CM⁻¹ WHICH ARE IDENTICAL WITH THOSE OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION.

AN IR SPECTRUM OF MELT MELT 11224-A IN CDCl₃ AT 25°C SHOWS ABSORPTION BANDS AT 3310 CM⁻¹, 1600 CM⁻¹, AND 1500 CM⁻¹, IDENTICAL WITH THOSE OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION. THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION IS IDENTICAL WITH THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. (REF. 1).

STRUCTURE (2)

AN IR SPECTRUM OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION AS MELT MELT 11224, BUT IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. IS IDENTICAL WITH THAT OF MELT MELT 11224-A. THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION AS MELT MELT 11224-B, BUT IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. IS IDENTICAL WITH THAT OF MELT MELT 11224-B.

AN IR SPECTRUM OF MELT MELT 11224-A IN CDCl₃ AT 25°C SHOWS ABSORPTION BANDS AT 3310 CM⁻¹, 1600 CM⁻¹, AND 1500 CM⁻¹, IDENTICAL WITH THOSE OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION AS MELT MELT 11224, BUT IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. (REF. 1).

STRUCTURE (3)

AN IR SPECTRUM OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION AS MELT MELT 11224, BUT IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. IS IDENTICAL WITH THAT OF MELT MELT 11224-B.

AN IR SPECTRUM OF MELT MELT 11224-A IN CDCl₃ AT 25°C SHOWS ABSORPTION BANDS AT 3310 CM⁻¹, 1600 CM⁻¹, AND 1500 CM⁻¹, IDENTICAL WITH THOSE OF THE POLY(1,4-BUTENE-2-PYRIDYL) POLYMER PREPARED BY THE SAME REACTION AS MELT MELT 11224, BUT IN THE PRESENCE OF 10% TiCl₄ IN CH₂Cl₂ AT 25°C FOR 10 HRS. (REF. 1).

2019 and July 22, 2021, in the City of New York, that defendant failed to appear to answer to the complaint and a warrant was issued for his arrest on September 1, 2021 at approximately 10:00 AM, in the Bronx, New York, by Justice of the Peace, John J. DiPietro, Esq., that he be remanded to the Bronx County Jail pending trial. On September 1, 2021, defendant was arraigned before Justice of the Peace, John J. DiPietro.

CRIMINAL HISTORY

AND DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY, by information, as follows:

Defendant has no prior convictions or arrests, and has no criminal history.

The defendant, DILAN MELVIN LEE, was born 07/01/1994, and resides at 304-1/2 East 187th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10453, and is employed as a delivery driver for Instacart, Inc., located at 1000 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, and is registered to vote in New York, NY, and is a member of the New York City Police Department, and is currently assigned to the 10th Precinct, Bronx, NY, and is married, and has three children, and is 100% gainfully employed.

EXTRAORDINARY

AND THE GRAND JURY OF THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, by the forego foregoing information, found that the defendant has engaged in conduct which is Extraordinary in nature, and is hereby charged with the offense of SPRAWLING IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, dated October 10, 2021, at the time and place above stated, as follows:

Defendant, DILAN MELVIN LEE, born 07/01/1994, a male, and residing at 304-1/2 East 187th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10453, and is employed as a delivery driver for Instacart, Inc., located at 1000 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, and is currently assigned to the 10th Precinct, Bronx, NY, and is married, and has three children, and is 100% gainfully employed, and engaged in the conduct of the offense charged, and is guilty of SPRAWLING IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, dated October 10, 2021, at the time and place above stated.

CRIMINAL HISTORY

AND DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY, by information, as follows:

Defendant has no prior convictions or arrests, and has no criminal history.

The defendant, DILAN MELVIN LEE, was born 07/01/1994, and resides at 304-1/2 East 187th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10453, and is employed as a delivery driver for Instacart, Inc., located at 1000 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, and is currently assigned to the 10th Precinct, Bronx, NY, and is married, and has three children, and is 100% gainfully employed, and engaged in the conduct of the offense charged, and is guilty of SPRAWLING IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, dated October 10, 2021, at the time and place above stated.

3.01. ~~SECRET//COMINT~~

AMERICAN GREENBRIER HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY AND THE NEW YORK CITY HOTEL ASSOCIATION, A NEW YORK CORPORATION, OWNERS OF HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY, HAVE BEEN APPROVED AS THE LEADERS OF THE AMERICAN HOTEL AND RESTAURANT TRADE ASSOCIATION.

The American, at 420 PARK AVENUE, and HOTEL 110, at 110 PARK AVENUE, are the two largest American-owned, 5-star and AAA-rated hotels in New York City. The American is a historic hotel, established in 1907, and is known for its elegant decor and service. HOTEL 110, at 110 PARK AVENUE, is a modern hotel with a unique interior design, featuring a large atrium, a swimming pool, and a restaurant. Both hotels are well-known for their excellent food and service, and are popular among tourists and business travelers.

3.02. ~~SECRET//COMINT~~

AMERICAN GREENBRIER HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY AND HOTEL 110, OWNERS OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, HAVE BEEN APPROVED AS THE LEADERS OF HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY. THE HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY IS AN ASSOCIATION OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, FORMED AS FOLLOWS:

The president, JOHN CONNELL, HOTEL 110; VICE PRESIDENT, RICHARD DUNN, HOTEL 110; SECRETARY, DALE HARRIS, HOTEL 110; AND TREASURER, ROBERT KELLY, HOTEL 110. The association will be known as the Hotel Association of New York City, and will be located at 110 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10016. The association will be responsible for the promotion and protection of the interests of the hotel industry in New York City, and will be headed by a chairman, who will be elected annually.

3.03. ~~SECRET//COMINT~~

AMERICAN GREENBRIER HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY AND HOTEL 110, OWNERS OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, HAVE BEEN APPROVED AS THE LEADERS OF HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY. THE HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY IS AN ASSOCIATION OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, FORMED AS FOLLOWS:

The president, JOHN CONNELL, HOTEL 110; VICE PRESIDENT, RICHARD DUNN, HOTEL 110; SECRETARY, DALE HARRIS, HOTEL 110; AND TREASURER, ROBERT KELLY, HOTEL 110. The association will be known as the Hotel Association of New York City, and will be located at 110 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10016. The association will be responsible for the promotion and protection of the interests of the hotel industry in New York City, and will be headed by a chairman, who will be elected annually.

3.04. ~~SECRET//COMINT~~

AMERICAN GREENBRIER HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY AND HOTEL 110, OWNERS OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, HAVE BEEN APPROVED AS THE LEADERS OF HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY. THE HOTEL ASSOCIATION IN NEW YORK CITY IS AN ASSOCIATION OF HOTELS IN NEW YORK CITY, FORMED AS FOLLOWS:

the defendant, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE
BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, respectively, herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of
New York, registered office located at 1000 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, and
defendant is a corporation, registered office located at 1000 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036.

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

The defendant, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiff, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

The defendant, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

STATEMENT OF CAUSE

Plaintiff, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

The defendant, JAK "MAY" YOUNG, BURGESS, WILLARD CO., INC. & VINTAGE BREWING CO., INC., a corporation, dated June 5, 2005 and June 13, 2007, in the County of
Nassau, State of New York, defendant, and plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff, plaintiff,
herein referred to as "plaintiff" and "defendant". Plaintiff is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036, and defendant is a corporation, incorporated in the State of New York, NY 10036.

SEVENTY-FIVE

ANOTHER CLEAR VICTORY OF THE CFT OVER THE BART. A further decision was made by the CFT to support the BART strike. This decision was made by the CFT Executive Board, which is composed of the President, Vice-President, Secretary-Treasurer, and the Past President.

The strike began at 12:01 AM on October 10, 1982, after negotiations between the CFT and the BART failed to reach an agreement. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982. The CFT's position was that the BART must negotiate a new contract that includes a 10% pay increase for all workers.

SEVENTY-SIX

ANOTHER CLEAR VICTORY OF THE CFT OVER THE BART. On October 10, 1982, the CFT Executive Board, which is composed of the President, Vice-President, Secretary-Treasurer, and Past President, voted to support the CFT's position of supporting the BART strike. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982.

The strike began at 12:01 AM on October 10, 1982, after negotiations between the CFT and the BART failed to reach an agreement. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982. The CFT's position was that the BART must negotiate a new contract that includes a 10% pay increase for all workers.

SEVENTY-SEVEN

ANOTHER CLEAR VICTORY OF THE CFT OVER THE BART. On October 10, 1982, the CFT Executive Board, which is composed of the President, Vice-President, Secretary-Treasurer, and Past President, voted to support the CFT's position of supporting the BART strike. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982.

The strike began at 12:01 AM on October 10, 1982, after negotiations between the CFT and the BART failed to reach an agreement. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982. The CFT's position was that the BART must negotiate a new contract that includes a 10% pay increase for all workers.

SEVENTY-EIGHT

ANOTHER CLEAR VICTORY OF THE CFT OVER THE BART. On October 10, 1982, the CFT Executive Board, which is composed of the President, Vice-President, Secretary-Treasurer, and Past President, voted to support the CFT's position of supporting the BART strike. The strike lasted for approximately 10 hours, ending at 12:01 AM on October 11, 1982.

The defendant, ERIC FREERICK, #101, was arraigned before the Honorable John J. O'Boyle, Clerk of the Court, New York, on the 22nd day of November, 1977, and charged with the offense of grand larceny in the second degree, in that he took \$7,000 from the account of [REDACTED].

DEFENSE STATEMENT

ERIC FREERICK, #101, THE DEFENDANT, is hereby advised, that he is innocent of the charge of grand larceny in the second degree, and that he is entitled to a trial by jury if the State fails to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant, ERIC FREERICK, #101, was born on January 11, 1953, at Bronx, New York, and is the son of ERIC WALTER FREERICK, retired railroad engineer, and a step-mother known as Mrs. Barbara [REDACTED] (nee [REDACTED]), and [REDACTED] (born [REDACTED]) in the year 1950.

DEFENSE TESTIMONY

ERIC FREERICK, #101, is hereby advised, that he has been arrested by the New York City Police Department, in the name of ERIC WALTER FREERICK, #101, on November 21, 1977, and charged with grand larceny, in that he took \$7,000 from the account of [REDACTED] in New York, on the 22nd day of November, 1977.

The defendant, ERIC FREERICK, #101, is hereby advised, that he has been arrested by the New York City Police Department, in the name of ERIC WALTER FREERICK, #101, on November 21, 1977, and charged with grand larceny, in that he took \$7,000 from the account of [REDACTED] in New York, on the 22nd day of November, 1977.

DEFENSE TESTIMONY

ERIC FREERICK, #101, is hereby advised, that he has been arrested by the New York City Police Department, in the name of ERIC WALTER FREERICK, #101, on November 21, 1977, and charged with grand larceny, in that he took \$7,000 from the account of [REDACTED] in New York, on the 22nd day of November, 1977.

The defendant, ERIC FREERICK, #101, was born and raised in Bronx, New York, and has resided there since 1960, and has resided in Bronx, New York, at 101-1/2 West 181st Street, Bronx, New York, since 1970, and has resided in Bronx, New York, at 101-1/2 West 181st Street, Bronx, New York, since 1970.

INTRODUCTION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF WHICH THE
STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED, AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE
BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

IN ADDITION, THIS REPORT CONTAINS INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND THE
STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED, AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

INTRODUCTION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

INTRODUCTION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

INTRODUCTION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED,
AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHIN THE BORDERS OF WHICH THE STATE OF NEW YORK IS LOCATED.

the amount of \$1,000 (or \$2000), or whatever has been for 1990, and from \$1,
\$2, or the County of New York, whichever is greater, up to \$1000
(or \$2000) for the purpose of the holding of a lottery, except the amount of \$1000
(or \$2000).

SECTION VIII

AMERICAN GUARANTY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY has paid to the Plaintiff, through the
Bank of the United States, \$200.00, at the sum of \$1000.00 RECEIVED 10/18
1990 PAYMENT IN THE SECOND MONTH OF THE YEAR 1990, in payment
of the sum of \$200.00 for the month of October 1990, and the same.

The Plaintiff, UNDERWOOD, has also received the amount of \$1,000.00
(\$2000.00) in the month of November, which amount was paid to
the Plaintiff by the Bank of the United States, in payment of the sum of \$1,000.00
(\$2000.00) for the month of November 1990.

SECTION IX

AMERICAN GUARANTY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, by the indicated, made the
sum of \$200.00 (\$400.00) RECEIVED 10/18/1990 RECEIVED 10/18/1990
10/18/1990 PAYMENT IN THE THIRD MONTH OF 1990, in payment of
the sum of \$200.00 for the month of October 1990, and the same.

The Plaintiff, UNDERWOOD, has also received the amount of \$1,000.00 (\$2000.00)
in the month of November, which amount was paid to the Plaintiff by the
Bank of the United States, in payment of the sum of \$1,000.00 (\$2000.00)
for the month of November 1990.

SECTION X

AMERICAN GUARANTY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, by the indicated, made the
sum of \$200.00 (\$400.00) RECEIVED 10/18/1990 RECEIVED 10/18/1990
10/18/1990 PAYMENT IN THE THIRD MONTH OF 1990, in payment of
the sum of \$200.00 for the month of October 1990, and the same.

The Plaintiff, UNDERWOOD, has also received the amount of \$1,000.00 (\$2000.00)
in the month of November, which amount was paid to the Plaintiff by the
Bank of the United States, in payment of the sum of \$1,000.00 (\$2000.00)
for the month of November 1990.

NINETY EIGHTH COUNT

AND THIRTY-NINE DOLLARS ON THE TWENTY-NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER, BY AND IN THE PRESENCE OF
JOHN FREDERICK WILCOX, IN THE NAME OF JOHN THOMAS, SECRETARY TO THE
SECRETARY OF STATE, AND OF JAMES R. MCGOWAN, AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE,
AT THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE, NEW YORK, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ON A DAY UNKNOWN.

RECORDED, PUBLISHED AND INDEXED IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND
SIXTY-EIGHT HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE COPIES MADE THEREOF IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE
RECORDED OFFICE, ON THE TWENTY-THREE DAY OF DECEMBER, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, MCMXCVIII, AND THE
CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-THREE DAY OF DECEMBER, MCMXCVIII.

NINETY-NINTH COUNT

AND THIRTY-EIGHT DOLLARS ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, BY AND IN THE PRESENCE OF
JOHN FREDERICK WILCOX, IN THE NAME OF JOHN THOMAS, SECRETARY TO THE
SECRETARY OF STATE, AND OF JAMES R. MCGOWAN, AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE,
AT THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE, NEW YORK, ON A DAY UNKNOWN.

RECORDED, PUBLISHED AND INDEXED IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND THE APPROVAL OF THE
RECORDED OFFICE, ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, MCMXCVIII, AND THE
CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, MCMXCVIII.

NINETY-TWO COUNT

AND THIRTY-NINE DOLLARS ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, BY AND IN THE
PRESENCE OF JOHN FREDERICK WILCOX, IN THE NAME OF JOHN THOMAS, SECRETARY TO THE
SECRETARY OF STATE, AND OF JAMES R. MCGOWAN, AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE,
AT THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE, NEW YORK, ON A DAY UNKNOWN.

RECORDED, PUBLISHED AND INDEXED IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND THE APPROVAL OF THE
RECORDED OFFICE, ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, MCMXCVIII, AND THE
CITY OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, MCMXCVIII.

NINETY-THREE COUNT

AND THIRTY-EIGHT DOLLARS ON THE TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER, BY AND IN THE PRESENCE OF
JOHN FREDERICK WILCOX, IN THE NAME OF JOHN THOMAS, SECRETARY TO THE
SECRETARY OF STATE, AND OF JAMES R. MCGOWAN, AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE,
AT THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE, NEW YORK, ON A DAY UNKNOWN.

the Company at CHECKPOINT T-1 was located between April 20, 1944 and May 11, 1945, in County, New York, and the service, consisting of 1000 men, was conducted by the 1st Battalion, 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, which was commanded by Col. W. C. H. Smith, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy.

ARMED GUARD

ARMED GUARD DUTY OF THE 10TH INFANTRY, CHECKPOINT T-1, was conducted by 1000 men, from April 20, 1944 to May 11, 1945, in County, New York, and the service, consisting of 1000 men, was conducted by the 1st Battalion, 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, which was commanded by Col. W. C. H. Smith, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy.

The referee, Col. W. C. H. Smith, 10th Inf., 1st Division, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy, 10th Inf., 1st Division, both of New York City, who accepted the report, recommended that the amount of \$25,000 be awarded to the 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, for the services of the Company.

ARMED GUARD

ARMED GUARD DUTY OF THE 10TH INFANTRY, CHECKPOINT T-1, was conducted by 1000 men, from April 20, 1944 to May 11, 1945, in County, New York, and the service, consisting of 1000 men, was conducted by the 1st Battalion, 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, which was commanded by Col. W. C. H. Smith, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy.

The referee, Col. W. C. H. Smith, 10th Inf., 1st Division, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy, 10th Inf., 1st Division, both of New York City, who accepted the report, recommended that the amount of \$25,000 be awarded to the 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, for the services of the Company.

ARMED GUARD

ARMED GUARD DUTY OF THE 10TH INFANTRY, CHECKPOINT T-1, was conducted by 1000 men, from April 20, 1944 to May 11, 1945, in County, New York, and the service, consisting of 1000 men, was conducted by the 1st Battalion, 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, which was commanded by Col. W. C. H. Smith, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy.

The referee, Col. W. C. H. Smith, 10th Inf., 1st Division, and Lt. Col. J. C. Gandy, 10th Inf., 1st Division, both of New York City, who accepted the report, recommended that the amount of \$25,000 be awarded to the 10th Infantry, 1st Division, U.S. Army, for the services of the Company.

THE END

ANDREW MELVIN, JR., CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., AKA "BOBBY", OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

IN ADDITION, JOHN D'ONOFRIO, JR., AKA "JOEY", AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

THE END

ANDREW MELVIN, JR., CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

JOHN D'ONOFRIO, JR., AKA "JOEY", AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

THE END

ANDREW MELVIN, JR., CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., AKA "BOBBY", OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

JOHN D'ONOFRIO, JR., AKA "JOEY", AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

THE END

ANDREW MELVIN, JR., CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND ROBERT FREDERIC BREWER, JR., AKA "BOBBY", OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND HELD OVER IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, UNTIL THE TRIAL OF THE CRIMES WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSED OF COMMITTING.

The structure ABC 7100-1000000, a concrete pier located at the corner of 1st and Cypress (1st Street) in the City of Fremont, San Mateo County, approximately 10 miles west of San Francisco, California, was designed by the architect H. H. Murphy and built by the C. C. C. Construction Company, Inc., during 1933.

MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT

ABC 7100-1000000 contains no mechanical equipment, although a pump was installed in 1933 to move water from the reservoir to the city's water system. The pump was supplied by the C. C. C. Construction Company, Inc., and cost \$1,000.00. It was located in the basement of the building.

The building ABC 7100-1000000 contains two fire pumps, one located in the basement and the other in the roof space. The pumps were supplied by the C. C. C. Construction Company, Inc., and cost \$1,000.00 each. The pumps are connected to the city's water system and are controlled by a switch located in the basement of the building.

STRUCTURAL DEFECTS

ABC 7100-1000000 contains no structural defects, although a portion of the roof was damaged by fire in 1933. The roof of the building ABC 7100-1000000 is made of corrugated metal and is supported by four columns.

The structure ABC 7100-1000000 contains no structural defects, although a portion of the roof was damaged by fire in 1933. The roof of the building ABC 7100-1000000 is made of corrugated metal and is supported by four columns.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE COLUMNS

ABC 7100-1000000 contains no structural defects, although a portion of the roof was damaged by fire in 1933. The roof of the building ABC 7100-1000000 is made of corrugated metal and is supported by four columns.

The structure ABC 7100-1000000 contains no structural defects, although a portion of the roof was damaged by fire in 1933. The roof of the building ABC 7100-1000000 is made of corrugated metal and is supported by four columns.

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

APRIL 16, 2012 BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER - MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD
RECORDED IN 161 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION - THE CITY OF NEW YORK, SECTION 80(3)(B) OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ACT, AS A LETTER OF TRANSMISSION OF
SECTION 80(3)(B) OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ACT.

This document is a work product of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It may contain privileged information. It is the property of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency, or made public, without the prior written consent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

CRIMINAL REQUEST FOR SEARCH

RECORDED APRIL 16, 2012 BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER - MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD
RECORDED BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER, AT THE OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 16, 2012, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, USA. THIS REQUEST
IS FOR THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 112 EAST 23RD STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10010.

THE REQUESTED SEARCHES CONCERNED, AND REQUESTED BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER, AT THE OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 16, 2012, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, USA. THIS REQUESTED SEARCH IS FOR THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 112 EAST 23RD STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10010.

CRIMINAL REQUEST FOR SEARCH

RECORDED APRIL 16, 2012 BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER - MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD
RECORDED BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER, AT THE OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 16, 2012, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, USA. THIS REQUESTED SEARCH IS FOR THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 112 EAST 23RD STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10010.

THE REQUESTED SEARCHES CONCERNED, AND REQUESTED BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER, AT THE OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 16, 2012, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, USA. THIS REQUESTED SEARCH IS FOR THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 112 EAST 23RD STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10010.

CRIMINAL REQUEST FOR SEARCH

RECORDED APRIL 16, 2012 BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER - MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD
RECORDED BY: JEFFREY L. COOPER, AT THE OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 16, 2012, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, USA. THIS REQUESTED SEARCH IS FOR THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 112 EAST 23RD STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10010.

The account for the GENERAL services was opened on November 1, 1912, in the name of New York City, the interest rate being 6% per annum. The account was available for withdrawal, subject to a minimum balance of \$25,000.

ON THE ~~GENERAL~~ ACCOUNT

AND THE CHARGE THEREFOR IS AS FOLLOWS: INTEREST ON BALANCE OF GENERAL ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER, 1912, \$10.00; AND THE EXPENSES OF THE BANK IN THE PREPARATION OF THE STATEMENT OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNT, \$1.00; TOTAL, \$11.00.

The amount of the GENERAL account is as follows: On November 20, 1912, \$2,000.00; on December 10, 1912, \$2,000.00; on December 20, 1912, \$2,000.00; and on January 10, 1913, \$2,000.00. The total amount of the GENERAL account is \$6,000.00. The balance of the GENERAL account is \$4,000.00.

ON THE ~~GENERAL~~ ACCOUNT

AND THE CHARGE THEREFOR IS THE EXPENSE OF THE BANK IN PREPARING THE STATEMENT OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNT, \$1.00; AND THE EXPENSE OF THE BANK IN PREPARING THE STATEMENT OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNT, \$1.00; TOTAL, \$2.00.

The amount of the GENERAL account is \$2,000.00, which will be available January 20, 1913, and January 20, 1913, in the name of New York State, General Assembly of the State of New York, and the State of New York, subject to withdrawal, subject to a minimum balance of \$25,000.00.

ON THE ~~GENERAL~~ DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

AND THE CHARGE THEREFOR IS THE EXPENSE OF THE BANK IN PREPARING THE STATEMENT OF THE GENERAL DEPOSIT ACCOUNT, \$1.00; AND THE EXPENSE OF THE BANK IN PREPARING THE STATEMENT OF THE GENERAL DEPOSIT ACCOUNT, \$1.00; TOTAL, \$2.00.

The amount of the GENERAL deposit account is \$2,000.00, which will be available January 20, 1913, and January 20, 1913, in the name of New York State, General Assembly of the State of New York, and the State of New York, subject to withdrawal, subject to a minimum balance of \$25,000.00.

STATEMENT OF EXTRADITION

AN AFFIDAVIT MADE OF THE GOSPEL OF JOHN, by informant, alias JOHN FREDERICK LINDNER, in the name of DEPARTMENT OF STATE, dated 10th JUNE, 1863, and made in the County of New York, in the State of New York, contains the following:

The affiant, John Frederick Lindner, informant, alias John F. Lindner, of 117 West 14th Street, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, occupied as agent of John F. Lindner, in a place of business located in 117 West 14th Street, in the City of New York.

STATEMENT OF THE TRUSTEE

AN AFFIDAVIT MADE OF THE GOSPEL OF JOHN, by informant, alias JOHN FREDERICK LINDNER, in the name of DEPARTMENT OF STATE, dated 10th JUNE, 1863, and made in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, contains the following:

The affiant, John Frederick Lindner, informant, alias John F. Lindner, of 117 West 14th Street, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, occupies a property located in 117 West 14th Street, in the City of New York, in the name of John F. Lindner.

STATEMENT OF THE TRUSTEE

AN AFFIDAVIT MADE OF THE GOSPEL OF JOHN, by informant, alias JOHN FREDERICK LINDNER, in the name of DEPARTMENT OF STATE, dated 10th JUNE, 1863, and made in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, contains the following:

John Frederick Lindner, informant, alias John F. Lindner, of 117 West 14th Street, in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, occupies a property located in 117 West 14th Street, in the City of New York, in the name of John F. Lindner.

STATEMENT OF THE TRUSTEE

AN AFFIDAVIT MADE OF THE GOSPEL OF JOHN, by informant, alias JOHN FREDERICK LINDNER, in the name of DEPARTMENT OF STATE, dated 10th JUNE, 1863, and made in the County of New York, in the State of New York, United States, contains the following:

On 10th JUNE, 1863, at 117 West 14th Street, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, in the United States,

Region #1 - 911 Center, One Bryant Park, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10011, telephone number (646) 368-1400, fax number (646) 368-1401, email address NYC911@NYC911.org, website www.nyc911.org

ONE DAY INVESTIGATION REPORT

2007-09-11 10:00:00 AM - 2007-09-12 10:00:00 AM, subject information: (1) the deceased, JOHN THOMAS MCCARTHY, at the time of his death was 70 years old, male, white, height 5'10", weight 180 lbs, Social Security Number 000-00-0000, residence 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451.

On September 11, 2001, CIRCA 9:45 AM, the deceased (John Thomas McCarthy) was found deceased in his apartment located in Bronx, New York. Upon arrival, the Bronx Bureau of Emergency Medical Services (BEMS) responded to the scene and pronounced him deceased. The cause of death is pending further investigation.

CIRCA 10:00 AM - DEATH CERTIFICATE

At approximately 10:00 AM on the day of the deceased's death, his widow, Mrs. Barbara McCarthy (DOB 12/16/1946), resided at 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451, called the Bronx Bureau of Emergency Medical Services (BEMS) to report that her husband had died. The cause of death is pending further investigation.

Upon arrival, BEMS (911) at 10:00 AM, found the deceased deceased in his apartment located at 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451. The cause of death was listed as "natural causes". The cause of death is pending further investigation.

DEATH CERTIFIED BY THE CORoner

On the day of the deceased's death, the Bronx Bureau of Emergency Medical Services (BEMS) at 10:00 AM, found the deceased deceased in his apartment located at 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451. The cause of death was listed as "natural causes". The cause of death is pending further investigation.

The coroner, Dr. Michael D'Amico, MD, performed a postmortem examination on the deceased, John Thomas McCarthy, on March 28, 2002, at the facility of the New York City Medical Examiner's Office, located at 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451. The cause of death was listed as "natural causes". The cause of death is pending further investigation.

ONE DAY INVESTIGATION REPORT

2007-09-12 10:00:00 AM - 2007-09-13 10:00:00 AM, subject information: (1) the deceased, JOHN THOMAS MCCARTHY, at the time of his death was 70 years old, male, white, height 5'10", weight 180 lbs, Social Security Number 000-00-0000, residence 100-100th Street, Bronx, New York, NY 10451.

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE FROM THE CONVERSATION WITH

JOSEPH FREEDRICK FONSECA, JR., AND KAREN M. FREEDRICK FONSECA,
of the City of Newark, New Jersey, who were present when the subject,
John Joseph FONSECA, Jr., was interviewed by the FBI on April 15,
in the Newark office.

CONTINUATION OF THE TESTIMONY

AND THE ACCOUNTS OF THE SUBJECT, JOHN JOSEPH FONSECA, JR., AND KAREN M. FREEDRICK FONSECA, of the City of Newark, New Jersey, concerning their knowledge of the subject, John Joseph FONSECA, Jr., and his whereabouts during the period from January 1, 1968, to April 15, 1968.

According to JOSEPH FREEDRICK FONSECA, he was not in the vicinity of 131-17 Franklin Street or the City of Newark from January 1, 1968, through April 15, 1968, except for the period in which he was required to respond to a summons to appear at the Newark Municipal Court on April 15, 1968.

CONTINUATION OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE SUBJECT

AND THE ACCOUNTS OF KAREN M. FREEDRICK FONSECA, of the City of Newark, concerning their knowledge of the subject, John Joseph FONSECA, Jr., and his whereabouts during the period from January 1, 1968, through April 15, 1968.

According to KAREN M. FREEDRICK FONSECA, she was not in the vicinity of 131-17 Franklin Street or the City of Newark from January 1, 1968, through April 15, 1968, except for the period in which she was required to respond to a summons to appear at the Newark Municipal Court on April 15, 1968.

CONTINUATION OF THE TESTIMONY

AND THE ACCOUNTS OF THE SUBJECT, JOHN JOSEPH FONSECA, Jr., and KAREN M. FREEDRICK FONSECA, of the City of Newark, New Jersey, concerning their knowledge of the subject, John Joseph FONSECA, Jr., and his whereabouts during the period from January 1, 1968, through April 15, 1968.

According to JOHN JOSEPH FONSECA, Jr., he was interviewed by the FBI on April 15, 1968, in the City of Newark, New Jersey, and he was advised that he would be interviewed again on April 16, 1968, at the Bureau's office in Newark, New Jersey, on April 16, 1968.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK OR KENYA, by and whomsoever it may be known, HEREBY, WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND EIGHTEEN, doth hereby declare and witnesseth, that the same is true.

The subscriber, R. T. HARRIS, AGENT, of New York, New York, on the twenty-first day of June, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and eighteen, doth further declare, in the following manner, that he has been engaged to act as the representative of the State of New York, in the trial of the case of the People against Edward James Holmes, commonly known as "Whitey" Bulger, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by and whomsoever it may be known, HEREBY, WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND EIGHTEEN, doth hereby declare and witnesseth, that the same is true.

The subscriber, ER. HARRIS, AGENT, of New York, New York, on the twenty-first day of June, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and eighteen, doth further declare, in the following manner, that he has been engaged to act as the representative of the State of New York, in the trial of the case of the People against Edward James Holmes, commonly known as "Whitey" Bulger, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

THE SUBSCRIBER'S FEES & EXPENSES

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by and whomsoever it may be known, HEREBY, WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND EIGHTEEN, doth hereby declare and witnesseth, that the same is true.

The subscriber, R. T. HARRIS, AGENT, of New York, New York, on the twenty-first day of June, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and eighteen, doth further declare, in the following manner, that he has been engaged to act as the representative of the State of New York, in the trial of the case of the People against Edward James Holmes, commonly known as "Whitey" Bulger, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by and whomsoever it may be known, HEREBY, WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR OF CHRIST, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND EIGHTEEN, doth hereby declare and witnesseth, that the same is true.

The subscriber, R. T. HARRIS, AGENT, of New York, New York, on the twenty-first day of June, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and eighteen, doth further declare, in the following manner, that he has been engaged to act as the representative of the State of New York, in the trial of the case of the People against Edward James Holmes, commonly known as "Whitey" Bulger, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

property have to the original person or his/her direct family members, until such time as the same is sold.

GENERAL INFORMATION

ON THE 11TH DAY OF JULY, 1987, at approximately 10:00 A.M., the Plaintiff, known as GENE RAYMOND, JR., 29 YEARS OLD, at the time of the incident, residing at 1124 FAIRFIELD AVENUE, UNIT #220, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10034, was shot by an unknown person at 11:27 A.M. in the Bronx, New York, USA. Plaintiff is represented by

JOHN M. COOPER, 40 YEARS OLD, a partner in the firm of COOPER & COOPER, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036, who is also represented by Robert L. STONE, Esq., 35 YEARS OLD, of the firm of STONE & STONE, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036, and is also represented by Michael J. KELLY, Esq., 35 YEARS OLD, of the firm of KELLY & KELLY, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036.

GENERAL INFORMATION

ON THIS 11TH DAY OF JULY, 1987, the Plaintiff, known as GENE RAYMOND, JR., 29 YEARS OLD, residing at 1124 FAIRFIELD AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10034, was shot by an unknown person at 11:27 A.M., in the Bronx, New York, USA. Plaintiff is represented by JOHN M. COOPER, 40 YEARS OLD, of the firm of COOPER & COOPER, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036, and is also represented by Michael J. KELLY, Esq., 35 YEARS OLD, of the firm of KELLY & KELLY, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036.

The Plaintiff, GENE RAYMOND, JR., was shot in the chest area between the 5th and 6th rib cage, approximately 10 inches from the spine, at 11:27 A.M. on July 11, 1987, in the Bronx, New York, USA, except as otherwise set forth in the Plaintiff's complaint, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

GENERAL INFORMATION

ON THIS 11TH DAY OF JULY, 1987, the Plaintiff, known as GENE RAYMOND, JR., 29 YEARS OLD, residing at 1124 FAIRFIELD AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10034, was shot by an unknown person at 11:27 A.M., in the Bronx, New York, USA. Plaintiff is represented by JOHN M. COOPER, 40 YEARS OLD, of the firm of COOPER & COOPER, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036, and is also represented by Michael J. KELLY, Esq., 35 YEARS OLD, of the firm of KELLY & KELLY, located at 1100 BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10036.

The Plaintiff, GENE RAYMOND, JR., was shot in the chest area between the 5th and 6th rib cage, approximately 10 inches from the spine, at 11:27 A.M. on July 11, 1987, in the Bronx, New York, USA, except as otherwise set forth in the Plaintiff's complaint, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE PLAINTIFF, GENE RAYMOND, JR., ACCOUNTANT FOR 233RD STREET, INC., located at 1124 FAIRFIELD AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10034, was shot by an unknown person at 11:27 A.M. on July 11, 1987, in the Bronx, New York, USA.

OPTIONAL FORM OF BOND IN THE NEW YORK CITY TAX AND DUTIES ACT, as amended, as of the 1st day of November 2007, by and among the State of New York, commonly known,

as defendant, and KODAK CITY, incorporated as of the 16th day of December 1997, as plaintiff, of the City of New York, and its principal place of business at 300 Park Avenue, New York City, NY 10022, and the State of New York, commonly known as the Empire State Building, located at 34th Street and Madison Avenue, New York City, NY 10017.

OPTIONAL FORM OF BOND

ARTICLE I - GENERAL PROVISIONS - It is hereby agreed that, upon the filing of the complaint,状, or action, of KODAK CITY against the City of New York, commonly known as the Empire State Building, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in the County of New York, commonly known as the Bronx, the City of New York, commonly known as the Empire State Building, shall pay to the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, the sum of \$100,000,000.00, in accordance with the law of the State of New York, commonly known as the Bronx.

And it is further agreed that the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, shall file in the Clerk's Office of the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, a copy of the bond, and the same shall be registered with the Clerk of the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, on the second day of November, 2007.

OPTIONAL FORM OF BOND

ARTICLE II - SECURITY DEPOSIT - It is hereby agreed between the defendant, KODAK CITY, and the plaintiff, the City of New York, in the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, that the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, shall pay to the defendant, the City of New York, in the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, the sum of \$100,000,000.00, in accordance with the law of the State of New York, commonly known as the Bronx.

And it is further agreed that the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, shall file in the Clerk's Office of the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, a copy of the bond, and the same shall be registered with the Clerk of the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, on the second day of November, 2007.

OPTIONAL FORM OF BOND

ARTICLE III - PAYMENT OF BOND - It is hereby agreed that the defendant, KODAK CITY, and the plaintiff, the City of New York, in the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, shall pay to the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, the sum of \$100,000,000.00, in accordance with the law of the State of New York, commonly known as the Bronx.

And it is further agreed that the plaintiff, KODAK CITY, shall pay to the defendant, the City of New York, in the County of Bronx, New York, commonly known as the Bronx, the sum of \$100,000,000.00, in accordance with the law of the State of New York, commonly known as the Bronx.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS

AND TO THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS

STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS

AND TO THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS

AND TO THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS

AND TO THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS (\$125.58) AND BANK FEES (\$1.00), AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXES, SUBJECT TO THE TAXES AND FEES AS PROVIDED IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
HEREIN STATED ARE TO BE PAID TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMOUNTS
STATED ON THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT (\$125.58).

RECEIVED, JOHN J. MURRAY, NEW YORK CITY, ON APRIL 10, 1971.

and, 201, a 2nd Court Street, Staten Island, New York. During a period of time from approximately April 1940 to January 1941, he was a member of the 2nd Court Street Club, 7000 Avenue U, Brooklyn, New York.

201 DISCUSSED THE JAPANESE

AND TALKED THEREON WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING IT WAS HIS INTENTION TO GET A POSITION AS AN ATTORNEY OR MEMBER OF THE BAR ASSOCIATION AND THAT HE HAD BEEN TALKING WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE WOULD NOT GO INTO THE BAR ASSOCIATION, AS HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

He further stated that he had been talking with the CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES, AND THAT HE HAD BEEN TALKING WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

DISCUSSED THE JAPANESE

AND TALKED THEREON WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES, AND TALKED THEREON WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

The informant, EDDIE HILL, 228-47th Street, Brooklyn, New York, on May 23, 1941, in his capacity as a reporter, while in the office of the CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, 201, 2nd Court Street, Brooklyn, New York, was informed by the CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR that he had been talking with the CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

201 DISCUSSED THE JAPANESE

AND TALKED THEREON WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES, AND TALKED THEREON WITH THE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

The informant, EDDIE HILL, 228-47th Street, Brooklyn, New York, on May 23, 1941, in his capacity as a reporter, while in the office of the CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR, SAYING HE HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES.

EXCLUDED FROM PUBLICITY

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY ORDER OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, the defendant, and the
STATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, and DEFENDANT, the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M. KERK
HORNIG, JR., et al., et al. IN THE MATTER OF DECISION; the Attorney General, the
and the Board of Regents, over the State of New York, commenced, 1966.

The defendant, ERICKSON, JR., et al., et al., and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The
said, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the order").

EXCLUDED FROM PUBLICITY

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY ORDER OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, the defendant, and the
STATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, and DEFENDANT, the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and DEFENDANT, the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The Attorney General, the
Board of Regents, and the State of New York, commenced, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the
order").

The defendant, ERICKSON, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The Attorney General, the
Board of Regents, and the State of New York, commenced, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the
order").

CASE XI - NEW YORK 70, EXCLUDED

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, and the defendant, and the
defendant, ERICKSON, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The Attorney General, the
Board of Regents, and the State of New York, commenced, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the
order").

The defendant, ERICKSON, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The Attorney General, the
Board of Regents, and the State of New York, commenced, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the
order").

EXCLUDED FROM PUBLICITY

AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, and the defendant, and the
defendant, ERICKSON, JR., et al., et al., Plaintiff, and the State of New York, by the name of ROBERT M.
KERHORNIG, JR., et al., et al., Defendant, are hereby excluded from publicity. The Attorney General, the
Board of Regents, and the State of New York, commenced, 1966, 4 (1972) (hereinafter referred to as "the
order").

The defendant, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was born on November 1, 1970, at New York, New York, in the County of New York, and was lawfully admitted to the State of New York on January 1, 1994, from the State of Connecticut, pursuant to Article 14-A of the Laws of New York, and is now a member of the Bar of the State of New York.

CASE NUMBER: 01-2000-000000-CR-000

AND THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was indicted, on May 22, 2001, on a charge of second degree murder, in the County of New York, and was arraigned on June 11, 2001, before Justice of the Peace, ROBERT E. FRIEDMAN, a member of the New York City Bar Association, at the Bronx Criminal Court, Bronx, New York, and was found guilty.

The defendant, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was born November 1, 1970, at New York, New York, and was lawfully admitted to the State of New York on January 1, 1994, from the State of Connecticut, pursuant to Article 14-A of the Laws of New York, and is now a member of the Bar of the State of New York.

CASE NUMBER: 01-2000-000000-CR-000

AND THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was indicted, on May 22, 2001, on a charge of second degree murder, in the County of New York, and was arraigned on June 11, 2001, before Justice of the Peace, ROBERT E. FRIEDMAN, a member of the New York City Bar Association, Bronx, New York, and was found guilty.

The defendant, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was born November 1, 1970, at New York, New York, and was lawfully admitted to the State of New York on January 1, 1994, from the State of Connecticut, pursuant to Article 14-A of the Laws of New York, and is now a member of the Bar of the State of New York.

THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR.,

AND THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was indicted, on May 22, 2001, on a charge of second degree murder, in the County of New York, and was arraigned on June 11, 2001, before Justice of the Peace, ROBERT E. FRIEDMAN, a member of the New York City Bar Association, Bronx, New York, and was found guilty.

The defendant, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was born November 1, 1970, at New York, New York, and was lawfully admitted to the State of New York on January 1, 1994, from the State of Connecticut, pursuant to Article 14-A of the Laws of New York, and is now a member of the Bar of the State of New York.

THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR.,

AND THE DEFENDANT, KATHRYN M. HANLON, JR., was indicted, on May 22, 2001,

RECEIVED, 1. A. 1917. CECIL AND VERA MAE LEE, IN THE NAME OF 10000 FRIENDS
OF THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR, AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF
TELEGRAMS, NEW YORK, NY, ON APRIL 10, 1917, THE SUM OF FIFTY DOLLARS (\$50.00)
TO THE CREDIT OF THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED FOLLOWING:

"IN THE NAME, AND SOLE PROPERTY, AND EXCLUSIVELY OWNED, OF THE CREDIT UNION
OF NEW YORK, AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, WITH THE
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR, WHICH IS HEREBY RECORDED, AND IS HEREBY REFERRED TO, AS A PUBLI-
CATION OF THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

AGREEMENT MADE: 15 OF THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AND THE
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR, CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AND THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK,
AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW
YORK, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AS FOLLOWS:

The American Federation of Labor, CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AND THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK,
AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED,
HEREIN, HEREBY AGREE, CONFESS, AND DECRETE, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917,
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AS FOLLOWS:

CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK

AGREED, AND SOLEMNLY DECLARED, AS PROVIDED, BY THE MEMBERS, OVER THE
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR, CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AND THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK,
AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED,
HEREIN, HEREBY AGREE, CONFESS, AND DECRETE, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917,
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AS FOLLOWS:

The American Federation of Labor, CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AND THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK,
AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED,
HEREIN, HEREBY AGREE, CONFESS, AND DECRETE, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917,
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AS FOLLOWS:

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

AND THE AGREEMENT MADE, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH
DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH
DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED, AS FOLLOWS:

The American Federation of Labor, CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK, AND THE CREDIT UNION OF NEW YORK,
AS PROVIDED, IN THE AGREEMENT, MADE THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1917, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS PROVIDED,

exhibited at a "Ring" which was a small, rectangular construction, mounted on a low, rectangular base, containing the crest emblem of the Bank of New York.

EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN

AS TO THE EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN, AS IT APPEARED ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933.

The display, on Nov. 10th, 1933, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, consisted of a large, ornate, gold-colored, rectangular frame, containing a large, gold-colored, rectangular emblem, which was a reproduction of the original crown, exhibited in the Bank of New York during the period from 1800 to 1850.

EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN

AS TO THE EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN, AS IT APPEARED ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933.

The display, on Nov. 10th, 1933, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, consisted of a large, ornate, gold-colored, rectangular frame, containing a large, gold-colored, rectangular emblem, which was a reproduction of the original crown, exhibited in the Bank of New York during the period from 1800 to 1850.

EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN

AS TO THE EXHIBITION OF THE CROWN, AS IT APPEARED ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ON THE 10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1933.

The display, on Nov. 10th, 1933, in the City of New York, in the State of New York, consisted of a large, ornate, gold-colored, rectangular frame, containing a large, gold-colored, rectangular emblem, which was a reproduction of the original crown, exhibited in the Bank of New York during the period from 1800 to 1850.

SUPERINTENDENT OF THE COUNTY

AND THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE COUNTY OCTOBER 1, 1930, IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1930, AT THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, WHICH IS situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York.

The undersigned, FREDERICK ERICKSON, Superintendent of the County, do hereby certify that the sum of **ONE HUNDRED THIRTY EIGHT DOLLARS AND FORTY EIGHT CENTS**, or \$138.48, was disbursed to me by the County of New York, in the City of New York, on the 10th day of October, 1930, for my services as Superintendent of the County of New York, for the month of October, 1930.

CHIEF CLERK, CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

AND THE CHIEF CLERK, CITY CLERK'S OFFICE, DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1930, AT THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CLERK, CITY CLERK'S OFFICE, WHICH IS situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that:

The undersigned, FREDERICK ERICKSON, was employed by the City of New York, and by the City of New York, in the City of New York, in the month of October, 1930, for the sum of **ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEEN DOLLARS AND EIGHTY EIGHT CENTS**, or \$118.88, for my services as Superintendent of the County of New York, for the month of October, 1930.

CLERK OF THE COUNTY TREASURER

AND THE CLERK OF THE COUNTY TREASURER, DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1930, AT THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE COUNTY TREASURER, WHICH IS situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that:

The undersigned, FREDERICK ERICKSON, was employed by the County of New York, in the City of New York, in the month of October, 1930, for the sum of **ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEEN DOLLARS AND EIGHTY EIGHT CENTS**, or \$118.88, for my services as Superintendent of the County of New York, for the month of October, 1930.

COMPTROLLER OF THE COUNTY

AND THE COMPTROLLER OF THE COUNTY, DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1930, AT THE OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF THE COUNTY, WHICH IS situated in the City of New York, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that:

RECORDED, 10:00 A.M., P.D.T., ON JUNE 10, 1945, BETWEEN THE 10.25 DEG. E. LONG.
25.5 DEG. LAT. N. AND THE 10.25 DEG. E. LONG. 25.5 DEG. LAT. S., IN THE
MEDITERRANEAN SEA, APPROXIMATELY 10 MILES WEST OF THE COAST OF CYPRUS,
LEMNIAN, HAVING THE FOLLOWING INCIDENTS, AS FOLLOWS:

PROSECUTION - CLASSIFICATION

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - PROSECUTION - BY THE INSPECTOR OF MARINE POLICE
SUBDIVISION, CYPRUS, PROSECUTION, ON THE MURKIL KOKKINOU, A 100
T. D. STEAMER, REGISTERED AT CYPRUS, ON JUNE 10, 1945, AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT,
CONCERNED AS FOLLOWS:

The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU, was found adrift off the port of CYPRUS
approximately 1000 L. T. (1000 N. L. T.) on June 10, 1945, about 10 miles
west of the coast of CYPRUS, having no engine, deck fittings or equipment
damaged or missing, no duplicate or spare parts, but was being maintained
in good condition for her intended purpose. The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU
was carrying 1000 GRT.

UNCLASSIFIED - EXCISE DISCHARGE

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - EXCISE DISCHARGE - BY THE INSPECTOR OF MARINE POLICE
SUBDIVISION, CYPRUS, ON THE MURKIL KOKKINOU, A 100 T. D. STEAMER, REGISTERED
AT CYPRUS, ON THE DATE OF THIS REPORT, AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT,
CONCERNED AS FOLLOWS:

The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU, was found adrift off the coast of CYPRUS (10.25 E. LONG.
25.5 DEG. LAT. N.) on June 10, 1945, about 1000 L. T. (1000 N. L. T.) on June 10, 1945,
having no engine, deck fittings or equipment damaged or missing, but was being maintained
in good condition for her intended purpose. The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU
was carrying 1000 GRT.

PROSECUTION - ATTACHED DOCUMENTS

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - ATTACHED DOCUMENTS - BY THE INSPECTOR OF MARINE POLICE
SUBDIVISION, CYPRUS, ON THE MURKIL KOKKINOU, A 100 T. D. STEAMER, REGISTERED
AT CYPRUS, ON THE DATE OF THIS REPORT, AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT,
CONCERNED AS FOLLOWS:

The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU, was found adrift off the coast of CYPRUS (10.25 E. LONG.
25.5 DEG. LAT. N.) on June 10, 1945, about 1000 L. T. (1000 N. L. T.) on June 10, 1945,
having no engine, deck fittings or equipment damaged or missing, but was being maintained
in good condition for her intended purpose. The defendant, MURKIL KOKKINOU
was carrying 1000 GRT.

CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000, THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK IS THE ONLY COUNTY IN NEW YORK STATE WHICH HAS NOT APPROVED THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS A TAX ON THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS A TAX ON THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000, WHICH IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX.

LINE ITEM BUDGET - 2001

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000, THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX.

The deferral of the CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX, as provided by Section 110(1) of the Charter of New York City, will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later, as a public service to the residents of New York City, and will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later, as a public service to the residents of New York City, and will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later.

CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000, THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX.

The deferral of the CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX, as provided by Section 110(1) of the Charter of New York City, will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later, as a public service to the residents of New York City, and will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later, as a public service to the residents of New York City, and will be effective until December 31, 2001, or until the date of January 1, 2002, whichever is later.

CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000, THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX. THE CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX IS APPLIED TO ALL NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTERED MEMBER YIELD TAX.

The undersigned, DUSTY HICK, #10111, an inhabitant of the County of Erie, State of New York, on the 1st day of November, 1981, in the County of Niagara, State of New York, did then and there, in the presence of the undersigned, make and declare the following my last will and testament, in writing, in the County of Niagara, State of New York, on the 1st day of November, 1981.

WILL AND TESTAMENT OF DUSTY HICK

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that I, DUSTY HICK, of the County of Niagara, State of New York, do make and declare this instrument of my last will and testament, in witness of SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, as follows:

The undersigned, DUSTY HICK, #10111, an inhabitant of the County of Erie, State of New York, on the 1st day of November, 1981, and the 16th day of November, 1981, in the County of Niagara, State of New York, did then and there, in the presence of SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, make and declare the following my last will and testament, in writing, in the County of Niagara, State of New York, on the 1st day of November, 1981.

TESTAMENT OF DUSTY HICK

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that I, DUSTY HICK, of the County of Erie, State of New York, do make and declare this instrument of my last will and testament, in witness of SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, as follows:

I, DUSTY HICK, #10111, do hereby make known to my wife, SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, that I do now desire to give her the sum of \$1,000.00, which I do hereby give her, and that we do now agree to call it "Dusty's Fund".

TESTAMENT OF DUSTY HICK

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that I, DUSTY HICK, #10111, do hereby make known to my wife, SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, that I do hereby give her the sum of \$1,000.00, which I do hereby give her, and that we do now agree to call it "Dusty's Fund".

I, DUSTY HICK, #10111, do hereby make known to my wife, SAWYER L. COOK, of the town of Niagara Falls, New York, that I do hereby give her the sum of \$1,000.00, which I do hereby give her, and that we do now agree to call it "Dusty's Fund".

CASE NUMBER: 10-CR-1000

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its attorney, vs. the defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", and others, a prosecution, in addition to the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

The defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", and others, to the Court of Appeals of New York, collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

CASE NUMBER: 10-CR-1000

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by its attorney, vs. the defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

The defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", and others, to the Court of Appeals of New York, collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

ARTICLE VI SECTION 10

THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK, by its attorney, vs. the defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

The defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", and others, to the Court of Appeals of New York, collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

DEFENSE-ENTRY THIS DAY

THE DEFENDANT, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", vs. the defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", collectively known as the People's Court of the State of New York, on the 1st day of June,

The defendant, RICHARD JAMES FORD, alias, "PAPAW", and others, to the Court of Appeals of New York, on the 1st day of June,

On June 10, 2011, in the course of New York's Office of the State Comptroller's investigation into the conduct of the Board of the New York City Housing Authority, it was learned that there were numerous instances, among them, where the Board had failed to follow its own rules.

CONTINUING VIOLATIONS

AND THE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, IN ITS EXAMINATION, HAS DETERMINED, AS WELL AS THE
NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY, THAT ON JUNE 10, 2011, IT WAS DISCOVERED, AS PART OF
THEIR INVESTIGATION, THAT THE BOARD HAD BEEN FAILING TO FOLLOW THE RULES OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME.

The examination, #12-0800X-ITP00070, conducted between September 1, 2011 and
October 21, 2011, in the course of New York's Office of the State Comptroller's investigation,
commenced on January 10, 2011, and has been continuing ever since, as evidenced
above. In addition, according to the Board, the violations have been continuing
since at least January 10, 2011.

CONTINUING SEVENTY-FIVE DAYS

AND THE STATE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE DETERMINED, AS PART OF THE EXAMINATION, THAT THE
DEFENDANT, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK, IS IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK'S
REGULATIONS, OR REGULATIONS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN THAT THEY ARE NOT FOLLOWING
THEIR OWN RULES.

The defendant, FHLB NEW YORK, now does not have until November 6, 2011, and
December 23, 2011, to determine if they have violated their own rules, which would
mean that continuing violations will be deemed to exist for purposes of the
complaint of January 10, 2011, meaning that such violations existed from October 2011 to the
present, or until now.

CONTINUING SEVENTY-EIGHT DAYS

AND THE STATE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE DETERMINED, AS PART OF THE EXAMINATION, THAT THE
DEFENDANT, FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK, IS IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK'S
REGULATIONS, OR REGULATIONS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN THAT THEY ARE NOT FOLLOWING
THEIR OWN RULES.

The defendant, FHLB NEW YORK, now does not have until November 6, 2011, and
December 23, 2011, to determine if they have violated their own rules, which would
mean that continuing violations will be deemed to exist for purposes of the
complaint of January 10, 2011, meaning that such violations existed from October 2011 to the
present, or until now.

CONTINUATION IN SPECIAL AGREEMENT - VOL VI

AS OF THE CEASED JUNE 30, 2011, AND NOT OF EXCEPTED, by the undersigned, on the
16th day of JULY, 2012, in the City of TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA,
hereinafter, hereinafter referred to as "THE DAY", at the Suite of Room No. 601,
100 King Street East,

The defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE (PW 111), an unmarried individual, born on July 10, 1962, at
100 King Street East, Suite 601, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT",
and the plaintiff, ROBERTA MARGARET HARRIS, an unmarried individual, born on January 1, 1963,
at 100 King Street East, Suite 601, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF",
hereinafter referred to as "THE PARTIES".

CONTINUATION IN SPECIAL AGREEMENT

AND WHEREAS, THE DAY OF THE CEASED JUNE 30, 2011, AND NOT OF EXCEPTED, the defendant agreed to
defence, PAUL DEJOURIE, before the TRIBUNAL OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION ("THE TRIBUNAL")
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT", in the action (PL 111) of the Plaintiff against the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE,
hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF".

The Plaintiff, ROBERTA MARGARET HARRIS, an unmarried individual, born on January 1, 1963, at
100 King Street East, Suite 601, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF",
and the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE, an unmarried individual, born on July 10, 1962, at
100 King Street East, Suite 601, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT",
hereinafter referred to as "THE PARTIES", have now agreed to the continuation of the
continuation of the action.

CONTINUATION IN SPECIAL AGREEMENT

AS OF THE CEASED JUNE 30, 2011, AND NOT OF EXCEPTED, the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE,
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT", and the plaintiff, ROBERTA MARGARET HARRIS,
hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF", of the Plaintiff, in the action (PL 111) of the Plaintiff against the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE,
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT".

The defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE, an unmarried individual, born on July 10, 1962,
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT", and the plaintiff, ROBERTA MARGARET HARRIS,
an unmarried individual, born on January 1, 1963, hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF",
hereinafter referred to as "THE PARTIES", have now agreed to the continuation of the
continuation of the action.

CONTINUATION IN SPECIAL AGREEMENT

AS OF THE CEASED JUNE 30, 2011, AND NOT OF EXCEPTED, the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE,
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT", and the plaintiff, ROBERTA MARGARET HARRIS,
hereinafter referred to as "THE PLAINTIFF", of the Plaintiff, in the action (PL 111) of the Plaintiff against the defendant, PAUL DEJOURIE,
hereinafter referred to as "THE DEFENDANT".

GENERAL INFORMATION:

The standard INTERSTATE FUND UNIT, units above, will be open May 6, 2002 to May 31, 2003. On January 1, 2003, the Fund will be converted to a unit holding plan. It will be available to existing shareholders - - who will receive additional units, tracking their original investment, based on the conversion rate of 100%.

CONTINUATION OF EXISTING FUND UNITS

ANNUAL DIVIDENDS (URC) - DIVERSIFY OTHER THAN THE Fund will be paid quarterly, at least once every three months, starting July 1, 2002, at a rate of 6.00% per annum. The Fund will be subject to a fee of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund by the Fund's General Taxicab Fund manager.

The Fund will be REINVESTED quarterly, starting August 16, 2002, in May 1, 2003, and so on. Annual fees of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund will be deducted quarterly from the Fund's assets, prior to the reinvestment of 90.00% of the balance of the Fund's assets, remaining after such deduction, at the annual rate of 6.00%.

CONTINUATION OF EXISTING FUND UNITS

ANNUAL DIVIDENDS (URC) - DIVERSIFY OTHER THAN THE Fund will be paid quarterly, at least once every three months, starting July 1, 2002, at a rate of 6.00% per annum. The Fund will be subject to a fee of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund by the Fund's General Taxicab Fund manager.

REINVESTMENT - Diversify Other than the Fund will be reinvested quarterly, starting August 16, 2002, in May 1, 2003, and so on. Annual fees of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund will be deducted quarterly from the Fund's assets, prior to the reinvestment of 90.00% of the balance of the Fund's assets, remaining after such deduction at the annual rate of 6.00%.

CONTINUATION OF EXISTING FUND UNITS

ANNUAL DIVIDENDS (URC) - DIVERSIFY OTHER THAN THE Fund will be paid quarterly, at least once every three months, starting July 1, 2002, at a rate of 6.00% per annum. The Fund will be subject to a fee of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund by the Fund's General Taxicab Fund manager.

The Fund will be REINVESTED quarterly, starting August 16, 2002, in May 1, 2003, and so on. Annual fees of 10.00% of assets held in the Fund will be deducted quarterly from the Fund's assets, prior to the reinvestment of 90.00% of the balance of the Fund's assets, remaining after such deduction at the annual rate of 6.00%.

July 1, 2012, following the sale of its real estate, the firm had \$20 million in cash and \$27.001

THE 2012 BUDGETARY POSITION

The 2012 budget of \$100 million was adopted by the Board of Directors on April 1, 2012, and the City of New York's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has made available the 2012 budgetary position of the City of New York.

The revenues, \$671.9 million, total 10%, were set at \$20 billion (11%); \$2012, indicating that New York City's revenues will not be constrained by a changing fiscal year, or by the fiscal year. The budget is projected to be \$20 billion in 2013; the budgetary position of the City of New York is shown below.

THE 2012 BUDGETARY POSITION

As of July 1, 2012, the City of New York's budgetary position is reflected in Table 1. The City of New York's budgetary position is summarized, as follows: (in millions):

The revenues, \$671.9 million, total 10%, were set at \$20 billion (11%), \$2012, under City of New York's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has made available the 2012 budgetary position of the City of New York.

USE OF MONEY IN THE BUDGET

As of July 1, 2012, the City of New York's budgetary position is reflected in Table 1. The City of New York's budgetary position is summarized, as follows: (in millions):

The revenues, \$671.9 million, total 10%, were set at \$20 billion (11%), \$2012, under City of New York's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has made available the 2012 budgetary position of the City of New York.

CRIMINAL PROPERTY - SUBJECT

AT THE COMMENCEMENT OF THIS CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, AND UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, THE DEFENDANT, JAMES RICHARDSON, IS UNIDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

THE DEFENDANT, JAMES RICHARDSON, WAS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, ON THE BASIS OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE WAS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

CRIMINAL PROPERTY - SUBJECT

IN THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF THIS CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, THE DEFENDANT IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

THE DEFENDANT, JAMES RICHARDSON, IS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, ON THE BASIS OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE WAS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

CRIMINAL PROPERTY - SUBJECT

IN THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF THIS CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, THE DEFENDANT IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

THE DEFENDANT, JAMES RICHARDSON, IS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, ON THE BASIS OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE WAS IDENTIFIED AS A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

CRIMINAL PROPERTY - SUBJECT

IN THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF THIS CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, THE DEFENDANT IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON, A MEMBER OF THE HELL'S ANGELS, A CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION LOCATED AT 3100 1/2 BROADWAY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF NEW YORK CITY, AND IS IDENTIFIED AS JAMES RICHARDSON.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The above are the GENERAL INFORMATION, which I am and suppose March 20, 2004, in the
name of THE CITY OF NEW YORK, the Mayor of New York, and the City Council, in the amount of \$6,700
(\$6,700) for the purpose of REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK during week 221 under the amount of \$6,700.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, during week 221 under the amount of \$6,700
(\$6,700) for the purpose of REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

The above sum of \$6,700 (\$6,700) is to be used for the repair and maintenance of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, during week 221 under the amount of \$6,700 (\$6,700)
(\$6,700) for the purpose of REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

The above sum of \$6,700 (\$6,700) is to be used for the repair and maintenance of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, during week 221 under the amount of \$6,700 (\$6,700)
(\$6,700) for the purpose of REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

The above sum of \$6,700 (\$6,700) is to be used for the repair and maintenance of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK, of the GENERAL FACILITY -
existing at THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

100-70

MEMORANDUM NEW YORK, NY 100-700

RECEIVED JULY TWENTY-NINE OF THIS YEAR, A COPY OF THE LETTER OF APRIL EIGHT, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND FORTY-EIGHT, FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY:

"RECEIVED, JUNIOR MEMBER DEPARTMENT, AND ANSWERED, JUNIOR MEMBER DEPARTMENT, ON THE TWENTY-THREE OF MAY, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND FORTY-EIGHT, BY THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY:

"REBUTTED, JUNIOR MEMBER DEPARTMENT, AND ANSWERED, JUNIOR MEMBER DEPARTMENT, ON THE TWENTY-THREE OF MAY, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND FORTY-EIGHT, BY THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY.

MEMORANDUM NY, JUN 2000 NY

REPLYING TO THE LETTER OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY, OF JUNE EIGHTH, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND FORTY-EIGHT, FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY:

The defendant, EXETER BANK, NY 1000, and its agent, LEE, JR., J. R. and CO., NY 1000, are liable to the plaintiff, BROWN, JOHN, NY 1000, with interest thereon, for the sum of \$1,000, plus interest thereon at the rate of six percent per annum from the date of the judgment until paid, plus costs of suit and attorney's fees.

REPLIES TO MEMORANDUMS RECEIVED

REPLYING TO THE LETTER OF JUNE EIGHTH, ONE THOUSAND EIGHTHundred AND FORTY-EIGHT, FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE STATE BANK OF NEW YORK, WHICH IS REPRODUCED HEREBY:

The defendant, EXETER BANK, NY 1000, and its agent, LEE, JR., J. R. and CO., NY 1000, are liable to the plaintiff, BROWN, JOHN, NY 1000, with interest thereon, for the sum of \$1,000, plus interest thereon at the rate of six percent per annum from the date of the judgment until paid, plus costs of suit and attorney's fees.

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN (187)

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LEISURE, PARKS AND RECREATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, FOR THE YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

The Department of Parks and Recreation is also, and has been, known as the Bureau of Parks, in the name of New York City, since its creation. The name "Department of Parks and Recreation" was adopted by the Board of Education in 1967, and the name "Bureau" was discontinued in 1968.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE BUDGET AND BUDGETARY INFORMATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

THE BUDGET AND BUDGETARY INFORMATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE BUDGET AND BUDGETARY INFORMATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

THE BUDGET AND BUDGETARY INFORMATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE BUDGET AND BUDGETARY INFORMATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1968-1969, PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY OF NEW YORK, ON OCTOBER 1, 1969.

DEFINITION OF DEFENSE

The definition, REINSTATEMENT, REINSTATEMENT AGREEMENT (hereinafter, "REINSTATEMENT") is the Contract between the Company and the Executive, dated June 16, 2011, in Denver, Colorado, and located at 1000 17th Street, Suite 1100, Denver, Colorado, 80202, to re-instate the Executive's employment with the Company as a public government contractor and to re-establish the Executive's compensation, benefits, and other terms and conditions of employment as set forth below.

REINSTATEMENT PERIOD

AND THE PARTIES AGREE THAT THE REINSTATEMENT AGREEMENT shall commence on the date of the Executive's termination of employment (the "Termination Date"), or the date of 2012 SEPTEMBER 1, 2012, whichever is later, and shall continue for a period of three (3) years from the Termination Date, unless terminated earlier:

The Executive REINSTATEMENT PERIOD shall commence on the date of December 16, 2011, (the "Reinstatement Date"), and shall terminate on the date of December 16, 2014, unless terminated earlier by either party, by written notice given to the other party at least one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the date of termination.

TERMINATION OF REINSTATEMENT PERIOD

Upon the death of JOSEPH R. COOPER ("DECEASED") or if the Executive ceases to be an employee of the Company, the Executive's REINSTATEMENT PERIOD shall terminate on the date of the Executive's death or cessation of employment.

The Executive, upon REINSTATEMENT PERIOD termination, shall receive a lump sum payment of \$20,000.00 (the "Final Payment"), to be paid to the Executive within thirty (30) days of the date of termination of the REINSTATEMENT PERIOD.

ADDITIONAL PAYMENT

AND THE PARTIES AGREE THAT THE REINSTATEMENT AGREEMENT shall remain in effect until the date of the Executive's death or the date of 2012 SEPTEMBER 1, 2012, whichever is later, and shall continue for a period of three (3) years from the date of the Executive's death or the date of 2012 SEPTEMBER 1, 2012, whichever is later, unless terminated earlier:

The Executive, REINSTATEMENT AGREEMENT, dated June 16, 2011, in Denver, Colorado, and located at 1000 17th Street, Suite 1100, Denver, Colorado, 80202, is hereby terminated, and the Executive will not be entitled to any further compensation, benefits, or other terms and conditions of employment.

assisted by his counsel in a public hearing conducted by the State Land Commissioner, before the New York State Board of Regents, on December 27, 1940, at Albany, New York.

REBUTTAL TO COMPLAINT

ASSETT AND CO., D/B/A CFT, 1940 NY 177544, by its undersigned, do hereby deny, REBUT, and disclaim all the allegations contained in the foregoing complaint, in so far as the same relate to the following:

The defendant, CFT, 1940 NY 177544, did not violate the City Charter of New York, 2015, by entering into an agreement with the New York City Housing Authority, dated January 1, 1941, for the acquisition of the hereinabove described property for the purpose of the efficient erection, housing, and maintenance of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for the benefit of the poor.

REBUTTAL TO THE COMPLAINT

ASSETT AND CO., D/B/A CFT, 1940 NY 177544, by its undersigned, do hereby deny, REBUT, and disclaim all the allegations contained in the foregoing complaint, in so far as the same relate to the following:

The defendant, CFT, 1940 NY 177544, did not violate the City Charter of New York, 2015, by entering into an agreement with the New York City Housing Authority, dated January 1, 1941, for the acquisition of the hereinabove described property for the purpose of the efficient erection, housing, and maintenance of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for the benefit of the poor. In view of the fact that the defendant, CFT, 1940 NY 177544, did not enter into any agreement with the New York City Housing Authority, dated January 1, 1941, for the acquisition of the hereinabove described property for the purpose of the efficient erection, housing, and maintenance of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for the benefit of the poor, the foregoing complaint is hereby denied.

CONCLUDING STATEMENT

ASSETT AND CO., D/B/A CFT, 1940 NY 177544, by its undersigned, do hereby deny, REBUT, and disclaim all the allegations contained in the foregoing complaint, in so far as the same relate to the following:

The defendant, CFT, 1940 NY 177544, did not violate the City Charter of New York, 2015, by entering into an agreement with the New York City Housing Authority, dated January 1, 1941, for the acquisition of the hereinabove described property for the purpose of the efficient erection, housing, and maintenance of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for the benefit of the poor.

ISSUED TO THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE FOR ASIA
AT NEW YORK CITY, APRIL EIGHTH, ONE THOUSAND NINETEEN

TO THE SEVENTEEN LIBRARIES

THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT LIBRARIES, AT NEW YORK, WILL RECEIVE THE
STANDARD EDITION OF THE SOURCEBOOKS ON CHINA, WHICH IS TO CONSIST OF FIFTEEN
VOLUMES, AND A INDEX VOLUME OF SIXTY, IN A PAPER CASE OF FORTY-EIGHT BY ONE-HUNDRED-TWENTY
BY TWENTY-INCHES.

THE SOURCEBOOKS ON CHINA ARE TO BE RECEIVED ON JUNE 17, 1911, AT NEW
YORK, AND WILL BE HELD FOR THREE MONTHS, DURING WHICH TIME THEY MAY
BE LOANED OUT OR REFERRED TO IN NEW YORK, BUT NOT FOR LONG PERIODS.
THEY ARE NOT TO BE LOANED OUT OVERSEAS, OR TO OTHER LIBRARIES, OR TO
INDIVIDUALS, EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED IN THE CONTRACT.

DEPT. OF COMMERCE,
NEW YORK

APR 10 1911
RECEIVED
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
LIBRARY

LIBRARY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Washington, D.C.

PLEA&RE TAKEN NOTICE that in accordance with the provisions of Section 24(1)(a) of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People herein demand that within three days of the date of service of this Document, you appear and make available to the人民檢察院 in Nanjing County for inspection, the following, up to the best of your knowledge and belief, all documents and papers displayed, or any being a physical evidence connected therewith, or otherwise, which you believe, must by law be given to the defendant, the defendant may make an application to waive some of this above to do, or if the defendant has made a written statement to provide psychiatric evidence, and such a joint Application shall be signed and made by a person other than the defendant, whom shall be identified in all or a portion of this joint Application, people, family, wife, brother, doctor or anyone which the defendant himself can identify or not.

PLEA&RE FURTHER TAKEN NOTICE that in accordance with the provisions of Section 24(2) of the Criminal Procedure Law I hereby demand, from you and each of you that at the time of trial you shall be the sole witness of the People, for any prosecution witness witness, who may testify relating to establish your present or past observation about the facts in the case or former trial which you are charged, or the acts of the criminal action, mentioned, without which does not on the date of service of this Document, or any time, the District Attorney or Bureau I bring, and the trial will be held, except that, otherwise of which what shall be held in Court the joint of prosecution you shall be the sole witness of the names, residence address, occupation and place of employment and the address where you served to reply to questions or a question put to them there in the course of the trial or I have written notice or communication.

In the case of this trial, the defendant with such an eligible witness, or their having served a copy of this guarantee, at the demand, or, "Please answer such a question be called to witness as specified therein, and then will be made public. as the provisions of Section 24(2) of the Criminal Procedure Law to exclude any testimony of such witness according to the trial outcome.

PLEA&RE TAKEN NOTICE On payment of fees of 110.00 of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People herein will offer and the trial court independent evidence of trial and its written statement to make to a public record, providing in the stamp set forth in this Indictment.

PLEA&RE TAKEN FOR YOUR RECORD that previous to Sept 20, 1997 or the Criminal Procedure Law, during the trial of this cause, the People expect no witness testimony testimony, the defendant does not commit the offense charged or committed in this indictment, which witness will be used by the defense party to (see below) previously identified the defendant.

PLEA&RE TAKEN FOR YOUR RECORD that provision in Section 24(2) of the Criminal Procedure Law, if at the time of the alleged offense against the defendant, no 1. Public Officer, or judicial, or other law enforcement personnel, his/her government official associate, or the defendant's place of work, and the arrest or release thereof or commitment after trial, result in proceedings to the reduction or撤销 of such defendant's punishment or mitigate thereof. But for the criminal nature, less.

RECEIVED BY THE
人民检察院
Nanjing County, Jiangsu Province

לעומת זו

הנתקה ממי ירשה ומי ירשה נתקה

3 JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER,
1000 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

THE JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER

MEMORANDUM
TO: JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER
FROM: JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER

DATE: APRIL 16, 1958

RE: JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER

THE JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF NEW YORK, by its chairman, Walter L. Goldfarb, 1000 Broadway, New York City, has been informed that the Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, of the Jewish Welfare Federation of New York, has voted to take

The action of the Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, by its chairman, Walter Goldfarb, 1000 Broadway, New York City, in voting to take the action described above, is fully supported by the Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, of the Jewish Welfare Federation of New York, which action is as follows:

RECOMMENDATION

AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF NEW YORK, by its chairman, Walter Goldfarb, 1000 Broadway, New York City, has voted to take the action described above, is fully supported by the Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, of the Jewish Welfare Federation of New York, which action is as follows:

The Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, by its chairman, Walter Goldfarb, 1000 Broadway, New York City, has voted to take the action described above, is fully supported by the Board of Directors of the Jewish Community Center of New York, of the Jewish Welfare Federation of New York, which action is as follows:

APRIL 16, 1958
WALTER GOLDFARB
Chairman

WALTER GOLDFARB
Chairman

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S CONTEMPT In accordance with the provisions of Section 80(2)(b) of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People hereby demand that within fifteen days of the date of service of this Demand, you appear and make written answer, before the Honorable Robert C. Garry for inspection, photographing, copying, or testing (but not otherwise) any or all personal items concerning a physical examination, treatment or experiment, or comparisons, made by or at the request of director of the Hospital, if the defendant is under a sentence of death in regard to this action, or if the defendant has filed a notice of appeal or has been granted a writ of habeas corpus or the court retains him/her, or if same was made by a person other than the defendant, where the defendant demands to make a witness or (b) any plan(s), drawing, map or other document, according to which the defendant is held to construct or used.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in accordance with the provisions of Section 80(2)(b) of the Criminal Procedure Law, I hereby command you and each expert that if you intend to use the test of the defendant to offer, for any purpose whatever, as witness, evidence or to establish your position, elevation, distance, time or place with which you are charged, at the time of their commission, you first, within eight days from the date of this Demand, have open to District Attorney of New York County, and the Office of the Clerk, the office of the Clerk of the Court in which shall be found the file of the place or places where you claim to have kept or performed the same, present address, full name and place of employment and the address, name of the witnesses upon whom you intend to rely to establish your position, further than at the time of the name or names mentioned above of their examination.

If at the trial of this action, the defendant calls such an alibi witness without having served a notice of such purpose on the人民, or if during normal trial hours he calls a witness not specified therein, a motion will be made pursuant to the provisions of Section 280.26 of the Criminal Procedure Law, to exclude any testimony of such witness calling on other than witness.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in accordance Section 80(2)(b) of the Criminal Procedure Law, the People intend to offer the following evidence (unless it is withdrawn, compromised, waived, or a guilty plea is entered) as the charge set forth in this Indictment:

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Section 230.31 of the Criminal Procedure Law, during the trial of this cause, the People expect to introduce a copy of draftifying the defense in or a person who committed the offense charged or was author to this indictment, which is to say, will be, similar to what is contained in the foregoing draft of the indictment.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Section 230.31 of the Criminal Procedure Law, if the file of the alleged killing victim involved was a public official, as defined in section 87(2)(b) and 87(2)(c) of the General Municipal Law, and the General Practice Law, the defendant's plan of guilty plea based on the comparative theory of a court trial, shall not be allowed to interfere with the collection or use of such evidence, provided however, that the People may file a motion to prohibit the defendant from so doing.

MADISON HICKS & CO.
Attorneys
New York, New York

בְּרוּמָה. כַּרְמֵל - 1

סֻמְנוֹסָן כּוֹכֶת: כּוֹכֶת אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה

תְּהִלָּה: מִלְּבָדָךְ תְּהִלָּה: מִלְּבָדָךְ

לְבָדָךְ

לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה, לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה.

לְבָדָךְ.

M. פְּנַי יְהוָה
לְבָדָךְ

לְבָדָךְ

לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה, לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה.

לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה, לְבָדָךְ אֲשֶׁר-אָתָה.

לְבָדָךְ

